

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-003

---

Judges:

Complainants:

---

**ORDER**

April 16, 2020

The Complainant alleged that two superior court judges demonstrated bias and prejudice in their rulings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 16, 2020.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

20-003

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See US Attorney Complaint for Complaint Information against Judge

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

--

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See US Attorney Complaint for Complaint Information against

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

**Subject:** Complain Against

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**, Case Number:**

Complaint Against Judge and Presiding Judge

For the Judicial Commission's clarification and the  
were forced starting to serve as their own legal  
representatives (Pro Se) two days before depositions started and were denied any  
reprieve or relief requested of the Court and allowed by law ever since. The  
have no knowledge of the Law and ask the Commission's lenience for the  
lack of knowledge of proper law procedure, law citations or even how to file this  
complaint. The are making extraordinary efforts to move their case forward  
having been given no time to locate licensed experienced legal counsel or even time to  
properly prepare themselves as Pro Se litigants. They have been managing their case on  
their own from while also facing extreme health (cancer/cancer treatment),

home (casualty loss of home), and financial hardships. All the while, opposing counsel and parties affiliated with the Trust are being allowed to violate the law with impunity in a concerted effort to railroad the with full awareness by Judge and Presiding Judge

We are bringing our case before the Judicial Commission because despite substantial evidence provided within very detailed motions the have filed, and a lack of evidence for opposing counsel's claims, Judges and ave shown clear and distinct bias and prejudice in their rulings.

1. Emergency and Expedited Motions have been intentionally delayed.
2. The were denied a Protective Order on Depositions having shown multiple good causes.
3. The were aggressively pursued to be deposed in Arizona, miles away, when initially telephonic depositions had been agreed to.
4. The motions have been denied [*in their entirety*] without citing law or statute as a basis for a decision, and in so doing, numerous requests for rulings within those motions were ignored, including the Court *failing to acknowledge, investigate, or act on notices of alleged law violations and repeated attorney ethics violations* brought before the Court.
5. The Court even went so far as to *invite* the opposing counsel to file sanctions against the when the opposing party had not requested it, and when the motions (filed and had notified the Court and provided evidence to the Court proving that exculpatory evidence was [*withheld for years*] that would have ended this case before it started.
6. The have provided substantial if not a preponderance of evidence proving their Counterclaims in this case and the Court denied the motion for Judgment based on the motion being "frivolous and meritless". The have spoken with a retired judge from who has reviewed the evidence and the and motions. The Judge stated that this case has merits and that he would have already ruled in favor of the percent based on the evidence and the crimes committed by opposing parties. He added that what he has witnessed is nothing short of "criminal acts". In short, the [Constitutionally Secured Rights] for due process and equal treatment under the law have been repeatedly violated by the Court. Therefore, the believe it *impossible* to obtain a fair and impartial trial with Judge and Judge adjudicating.

Making matters worse, in an effort to obtain a fair and impartial trial, the [redacted] have been denied a change of judge [redacted] times by Presiding Judge [redacted]. The Judicial Commission is respectfully requested to investigate the real reasons why all of this has occurred.

The [redacted] were instructed by the [redacted] to file *written complaints* with both the US Attorney's Office and the Judicial Commission while they start their own investigation into our well documented complaints. Rather than rewriting a document, the [redacted] encourage the Commission to start with the US Attorney Complaint and Motion to Reconsider Change of Judge filed on [redacted]. Please refer to the Complaint to the US Attorney's Office submitted by email today, [redacted]. The [redacted] have included attachments, which are listed down below. Additional evidence and supporting documentation is available on request.

We appreciate the Commissions review of our case and we are grateful that the Constitution of the United States and the US Supreme Law of the United States of America will be upheld.

Sincerely,

#### FILES

1. US Attorney Complaint
2. US Constitution Motion (filed stamp)
3. Response to Sanctions (filed stamp)
4. Reconsideration for Change of Judge [redacted] and Court's Minute Entries
5. Presiding Judge [redacted] Minute Entry Denying Change of Judge
6. [redacted] Motions for Summary Judgement and Default Judgement respectively
7. Email to [redacted] concerning [redacted] false Subpoena's sent to Judge
8. [redacted] ravel restriction
9. Excerpt from [redacted] Motion by Atty [redacted] Admits calling [redacted] Primary Care Physician [redacted] - HIPAA Law Violation
10. Letter from Oncologist for Cancer Treatments for [redacted] starting on [redacted] each day of the week for [redacted] weeks.
11. Expert Witness Contract
12. Atty [redacted] Agreeing to Telephonic or Video Deposition
13. Email from Atty [redacted] disregarding Judge [redacted] Order on Depositions no longer able

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**