

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-032

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

April 16, 2020

The Complainant alleged a pro tem superior court judge had engaged in perjury and obstructed her ability to attend a court hearing.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 16, 2020.

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

**Subject:** COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE

## COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE

I, \_\_\_\_\_ hereinafter "biological mother" file this complaint against \_\_\_\_\_ in his official capacity a Judge, child dependency, presiding in \_\_\_\_\_ Court. This complaint is based upon violations oath of office, procedural due process, denial due process, obstruction of justice. Documents will show a pattern the above violations by Pro-Term \_\_\_\_\_, on concert with others, and mandates an investigation.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ at all times has served relevant to case No. \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ Court. Appellate applied to the court under Judiciary and Judicial Procedural U.S.C Title 28. U.S.C.A Section 1754 and 42 U.S.C § 1938 right to due process under the 14th Amendment, and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 81, for leave for self-representation

hereinafter "Pro Se" back in

Judge [redacted] has taken repeated steps to obstruct (18 U.S.C§ 1512, 1513, and 1515) give me back my son e hereinafter "family reunification."

[redacted] (postmarked [redacted]) it was part and objected as part of the conspiracy that Judge [redacted] would and did knowingly mutilate, concealed, cover up, and made a false entry in a record, document, and tangible objected with intent to impede, obstruct, and influence an ASFA Fact Finding subtitled REASONABLE EFFORTS TO FINALIZE THE PERMANENCY GOAL after deferring while on bench. The specific violation(s) is the petitioner shall and deliberately failed to serve a copy of the petition pursuant to AZ Juv Proc Rule 48, NOW to Pro Se. [redacted] courtroom conspired making it impossible and denying me the right to attend the hearing, violation of my due process.

Although I complied with the [redacted] excessively untimely disposition court order and made sufficient progress towards reunification according to the parent aides and supervisor the state never disclosed a copy of their motion to my counsel to change the permanency from family reunification to adoption. [redacted] : Letter

Court audio will reveal Judge [redacted] proceeded with a severance hearing set for [redacted] Judge [redacted] knowingly allow AZ [redacted] to tamper with previous hearing court reports hereinafter "evidence" and documents by crossing out the old case number rather than submit new reports to the court regarding progress in this case. [redacted], in [redacted]. AZ [redacted] submitted the same reports from the previous hearing, Judge [redacted] committed perjury when he said, "the change of case plan was merited" [redacted] : Minute Entry.

[redacted], was a case manager, required to make regular health and welfare checks on my son under the supervision of the Arizona [redacted].

In [redacted], almost [redacted] years later after appeals court ordered Judge [redacted] to "control and enforce" his Rule 59 and appeals court's order's dated [redacted], in [redacted] in [redacted] and [redacted] testified my son was doing fine it would be Arizona [redacted], who reported AZ [redacted] tampered with the same old case reports hereinafter "government documents" that were more than [redacted] months old. Pursuant to ARS 515. 03, the agency must respond within [redacted] days of receipt, to accept or decline [redacted] recommendations made specifically to the case manager, [redacted] never responded, Arizona [redacted] : [redacted].

In open disregard to the findings and recommendations concerning the law, Judge XXX argued, among other things, that the trial court erred in so ...

Judge [redacted] on more than one occasion between [redacted] willfully made misleading and knowing made false statements under oath during these official proceedings.

[redacted] Judge [redacted] mislead the court said, "[redacted] declined to accept jurisdiction." Rather than enforcing appeals court ordered that AZ [redacted] needed to

comply with both the superior court's order granting Mother's Rule 59 motion and appeals order dated \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_, and expedite \_\_\_\_\_ approval of \_\_\_\_\_, son placement in \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_ approved hereinafter "accepted placement" of \_\_\_\_\_ son an under an \_\_\_\_\_. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ made a false statement when he said, "the home state is proper in Arizona and \_\_\_\_\_," never declined to accept placement of \_\_\_\_\_ under the

another statement, \_\_\_\_\_ falsely hereinafter "perjury" said, "the court report was submitted" when seemingly instead \_\_\_\_\_ under oath while on bench said, "he deferred making the \_\_\_\_\_ findings at this time."

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has continued to fail to demonstrate the ability to adhere to his duties necessary to maintain public confidence as such impartiality of the judiciary conduct.

\_\_\_\_\_, Pro Term has presided to both relevant cases at all times. I believe Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is bias, prejudiced, and without propriety. I am concerned that Judge \_\_\_\_\_, in having a judicial complaint filed against her by myself, will also not be able to be fair and impartial to my interests as this complaint will more than likely cause her to have to explain to the judicial ethics committee the basis for her decision and if deemed to be inappropriate will potentially face a sanction from that committee because of my complaint. I am requesting that Judge \_\_\_\_\_ be removed from the active juvenile case \_\_\_\_\_. I am seeking a fair, impartial and competent judge as outline in appellate procedures.

Due Process, Not