

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-055

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 28, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge had violated his Sixth Amendment right to counsel.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 28, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2020-055

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I
Constitutional Rights and was Violate his Constitutional Rights.
IN the hearing
Presented with Counsel
Private AMERICAN has Demanded
being the officer of the Court
and was
say he WANTED
TO Withdrawl from Counsel Because he was NOT ABLE
TO Provide Assitance of Counsel and "Judiciary Act" of 1789
Section 35 and which is a secured Sixth Amendment Right
Being officer and took an oath of office to
support Constitution did not fulfill his job obligation
when The Accused was without Assitance of Counsel
and Rejected when Counsel try to Withdrawl without any
Grounds which is deprivation of Rights and Conspiracy
TO Deprive Right under Color of law "18 U.S.C. 241, 242"
AND Violation of the United Constitution Arizona Constitution
Judical Conduct, Under "Attorney and Client Corpus Juris
Secumdom" Attorney first Obligation is to the Court not the
Client which is Conflict of Interest and Committing
Capital Felony treason against the United Constitution
and Arizona Constitution due-process and life and
liberty. This matter should be heard as soon as possible
Do TO Hardship of my Due process THANK you