

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-087

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 2, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings, covered up another judge's misconduct, and issued invalid, unsigned orders.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 2, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2020-087

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge _____ Minute Entry of _____ states the petition of _____ for the Reformation of the _____ of _____ "petition is not timely under any of the Rules of Family Law Procedure."

"IT IS ORDERED DENYING the petition of Reformation of _____ of _____ (unsigned by _____) _____

The _____ SUBMITTED BY _____ Attorney of Record for the _____ OF _____ fails to meet the criteria ~~is~~ for

timeliness cited By Judge _____ in her Minute order Judge _____ Minute entry applies equally to the _____ submitted by _____ hich was submitted _____ months after the Decree. and failed to indicate how the _____ could be administered under the ASRS Pension Plan, as written. Page _____ of

ASRS failed in its feduciary responsibilty to notify the Court how the plan could be administered as written in the petition for Reformation of the Order follows the Order on Page _____ Based on the _____ page of the _____ the _____ request is timely.

What is clear is that _____ does not meet the Criteria outlined by Judge _____ regarding timeliness under the Rules of Family Law Procedure. Under the Ru~~e~~s of Family Law Procedure as stated by Judge _____ should not have been accepted by the Court in _____

(COPY ENCLOSED) _____

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

page 3 continued

Name: _____ Judge's Name: Judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Appellate Court did not rule on the merits of the deficient
AND DID NOT ADDRESS THE AS IT APPLIED TO THE PENSION
PLAN SIGNED FOR IN
THE APPELATE COURT QUICKLY CLOSED ~~X~~ No
DECLARING IT DAS ADEJUDICA WITHOUT EVER ADDRESSING THE
AND ITS RELATION TO THE PENSION PLAN. (Copy enclosed P.

THE SUBMITTED TO THE SUPERIOR COURT OF IS BASED ON
THE CONTENT OF THE QDRO WHICH WAS ACCEPTED BY THE APPELATE COURT
WITHOUT BEING ADDRESSED. (by the court) (V/F)
????? if a qualified PRESERVES THEM"

was removed as attorney for Cause by
On reappointed as attorney
under the schroud of "CONFLICT OF INTEREST". protested
this action to the Commission and recieved an unsigned order
which did not address ethics in appointing an attorney
who she herself had removed. conduct in defending the
cover up of corrupt appointment of under the
guise of timely process, and should be censured for colluding
with to cover up CONFLICT OF INTEREST!
denials of motions of and all

proceed from from her initial denial of timeliness which is
over-ridden in the (however poorly constructed).
THIS COMPLAINT IS ABOUT THE NOT THE APPELLATE COURT DECISION.
ALL ORDERS RECIEVED BY UNSIGNED!

ALL ORDERS FROM JUDGE WERE UNSIGNED

Assessment charges of office unsigned.....no information sent to from
Paralleling assessment charges sent by Judge in
also unsigned by and requesting dollars
BOTH Paid by directly to Law Firm
denied payment on
paid assessments to both requests from and
requested by respective Judges.
has no signed order from OR

Accountability for unsigned orders non-existent due to lack of unsigned orders.

Overbearing threat of Contempt from Court in both cases,
paid in both cases by Cashiers Check/Bank of America

SCAM ??????????

FRAUD ????????

SAME CASE

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA

CLERK OF THE COURT

HONORABLE

IN RE THE MATTER OF

AND

*COMPARE TO P 218
APPELLATE COURT
2 WAYS?!?*

JUDGE

EXHIBIT

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has carefully reviewed and considered the "Petition for Reformation of of " which was filed by Respondent on

First, the pleading failed to comport with Rules 20 and 24 of the Arizona Rules of Family Law Procedure. The document failed to set forth a clear and concise statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction and the legal basis for the claims under which Respondent believes to be entitled to relief. In addition, the Petition appears to be an attempt to alter or amend a judgment pursuant to Rule 83 and/or a request for relief from Judgment under Rule 85. Rule 83 requires that motions to alter or amend a judgment be filed within days after the judgment is entered. Rule 85 requests that the motions be made within a reasonable time (generally within months) of the entry of the judgment. The judgment in question was entered on more ago. Litigation in this matter ceased in after the Court of Appeals affirmed Wife's right to be treated as a spouse for purposes of survivor benefits. Thus, this petition is not timely under any of the Rules of Family Law Procedure.

IT IS ORDERED DENYING the Petition for Reformation of

IN THE
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS

v.

Appeal from the Superior Court in

The Honorable

REVERSED AND REMANDED

COUNSEL

* pc 7-98

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**