

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-107

---

Judges:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 9, 2020

The Complainant alleged two superior court judges had violated his constitutional rights.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 9, 2020.

Legal

Comp  
2020-107

To whom it may concern:

Sorry to trouble you.

→ would like to file a complaint on  
Judge  
Judge

has continued to circle  
her wagon around my case that → am  
unable to have some of the greatest  
violations of my Constitutional rights  
and some extremely heinous crimes  
committed against me brought to light.

→ am now in front of judge  
who instead of trying to protect my  
rights has an issue with all the attorneys  
who have stepped off my case as if it's  
my fault. → instead of trying to set up  
some sort of conference call to figure out  
what's the issue, with prejudice he believes  
it's my fault and not the attorneys who  
don't want to represent me properly  
... I #... 11 because of judges like  
who is putting strings with  
her colleagues to keep me in prison.

# Legal

wants instead for my attorney, who lacks any courage to just file any petitions and appeal it after it leaves his court!

→ instead of trying to fix the problem, he instead wants to just push it along.

where is the honor in that.

if you're scared to do your duty or if you're compromised yourself, then why make it worse? just stop off.

All I'm asking is to have real issues addressed.

Violations of my constitutional rights addressed. That is his and "my attorney's" duty. Fairly.

is this too much to ask for? Have these judges corrupted themselves so greatly that they are unable to come back to mend their malfeasances.

→ enclosed is a motion → just filed with some of the issues that any judge with any honor, morals or ethics would not stand for and would demand the exposure of the corruption. Unless their part of it. Just saying.

Why info:

1.  
2.  
3. Superior Court of Arizona  
4. County

5.  
6. State of Arizona

7.  
8. V. Motion for Reconsideration  
9. of Change of Counsel  
10. Judge

11.  
12. Defendant,

Respectfully

13. request the Court to Reconsider Defendant's  
14. previous request of "Change of Counsel".

15.  
16. The Court should not assume because Defendant's  
17. "attorney" claims that he could only raise these  
18. issues that hes not prevaricating.

19. There is a reason why the justice system is  
20. broke. There's a reason why there is such a  
21. thing like Rule 32. There's a reason as to  
22. why there are words like: Corrupt, Compromise,  
23. Crooked, Dishonest, unethical, gross negligence, evil.

24.  
25. The Court is presuming that Defendant wants  
26. to what? Have his attorneys string him along  
27. for years with extension and only later find out

1. that the whole time Counsel was lying about  
2. exposing the whole truth, the corruption,  
3.  
4. The Court cannot be that inept as to believe  
5. that Defendant going through attorneys is  
6. Defendants fault so the Court wishes to punish  
7. Defendant Rather than order any of the  
8. previous attorneys to do their job; or order a  
9. Conference Call to see whats the issue.  
10. Something you would expect only from a Judge  
11. With honor. Not passing such judgement  
12. as this very Court has.  
13.  
14. It doesnt matter if Defendant goes through  
15. attorneys in the interest of justice.  
16. Defendants Constitutional Rights Supersedes  
17. a Judges pet peeve to appoint another attorney.  
18.  
19. The Fact is Defendant has been through just  
20. as many judges as attorneys; so what does  
21. that say. Judges that stepped off this very  
22. case because they didnt want to compromise  
23. themselves because that is what continues to be  
24. asked of them or the state would have  
25. threaten the judges friend and say it was the  
26. Defendant.

27.

28.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**