

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-112

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 9, 2020

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge issued an improperly harsh prison sentence as a result of bias, purported bribery, and fear of the prosecution.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 9, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2020-112

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

A Judge uphold and promote the INDEPENDENCE, INTEGRITY AND IMPARTIALITY OF THE JUDICIARY, AND SHALL AVOID IMPROPRIETY AND THE APPEARANCE OF IMPROPRIETY  
JUDGE IN SHE THREATEN ME BECAUSE I TOLD HER, "I DOING BUSINESS UNDER DO NOT CONCEDE TO THESE PROCEEDINGS BECAUSE MY DUE PROCESS HAS BEEN VIOLATED" I REPEATED IT A LEAST 7 TIMES WHICH SHOULD HAVE STOPPED THE PROCEEDING, BUT SHE CONTINUED WITHOUT MY CONSENT, BECAUSE OF THE INFLUENCE AND FEAR OF THE MEDIA AND STATE PROSECUTOR THEN IN: DURING A PROBATION HEARING, JUDGE VIOLATED RULES OF THE COURT 2.3 A 2.4 B 2.6 A 2.11 A AND 2.15 BRINGING BIAS, PREJUDICE, MALICIOUS PROSECUTION AND VINDICTIVE AND BEING INFLUENCED BY THE STATE ON A CASE SHE SHOULD HAVE RECUSED HERSELF FROM, JUDGE SHOWED SIGNS OF INCOMPETENCE, WEAKNESS AND INDECISIVENESS. NO COMPETENT JUDGE WOULD HAVE SENTENCE A PERSON TO ON A TECHNICAL PROBATION VIOLATION, WITH NO CRIME, NO NEW CHARGES, OR ANY TYPE OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY, UNLESS THEY TOOK A BRIBE, IS TERRIFIED & FEARFUL OF THE STATE PROSECUTOR AND INFLUENCE BY THE STATE BECAUSE OF INCOMPETENCE, THIS KIND OF FEAR AND INFLUENCE JEOPARDIZES THE INTEGRITY AND FAITH OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM AND OPENS THE DOOR TO LEGAL LITIGATIONS. THE SWORN OATH TO UPHOLD THE LAW IS QUESTIONABLE BY THE BIAS, PREJUDICE, IMPARTIALITY AND ABUSE OF POWER JUDGE POSSES. THE STATE IS TAKING ADVANTAGE OF JUDGE AGING, HEALTH WHICH IS DECLINING CONSIDERABLY IT MAYBE DUE TO STRESS, PRESCRIPTION DRUGS, ALCOHOLISM OR MARRIAGE PROBLEMS NO COMPETENT JUDGE WOULD EVER BE THIS INCOMPETENT WITH FEAR INDECISE METHODS, EASILY INFLUENCE, AND NO ABILITY TO CONTROL, MAKE DECISION (Fairly) IN THERE OWN COURTROOM <sup>WITHOUT</sup> FEAR OF RETALIATION.