

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-115

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

July 16, 2020

The Complainant alleged that a justice of the peace exceeded her jurisdiction in a civil traffic matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 16, 2020.

DE FACTO CASE NO:
Ticket/Complaint No

The following grievances are being expressed within the Rights and Privileges of
of We the People, in my personal capacity.

Let us you and I get some things straight. I corrected your court documents, and _____ is
now the Petitioner, not defendant. His name is corrected in lower case. The ONLY time anyone uses all
caps in a name is on a tombstone. Look up grammar rules. I know you do not understand, but I will
explain.

Someone in your position should understand the LAW and what it means. Here is what LAW is:

LAW is this _____ : L stand for land which is common law (the constitution) rights, equity, and
property.

A is for air.

W stands for water: W is admiralty which is commerce and contract LAW. All admiralty commerce law
are corporations and all corporations have contracts with their by-laws.

Notice all tickets have the ticketholders name in all caps, making them dead. See the ticket to
_____ where I corrected this information.

Let's define the word jurisdiction: juris means, right law and diction means words. Courts operate LAW
W in water. The LAW of water. How can that be when a courthouse is not a ship and is on dry land? How
did these courts change the People to commerce known now as cargo? Is this not slavery? Were not the
slaves carried by gun force from _____ to the _____ as cargo, then shipped by gun to slavery in
the _____. We are still carried by gun point forced and subjugated to do what the courts direct us to do.
The People are forced by gun point to do what courts tell us to do and we are tossed in jail or prison if
we do not capitulate to their demands. Warrants take away rights not a privilege, and warrants result in
arrest at gun point. Look up your warnings in your de facto letter, which is conduct of a tyrant. In case
you do not know, the way courthouses are today is not the way they were designed to be run. Look at
history of the courthouses and the job duties of real justices of the peace.

I changed _____ status and standing, and I challenged the courts jurisdiction. So, your letter is
just wrong. You do not understand status, standing or jurisdiction which is everything in a court of LAW.
Hummm??

Courts presume the status of an individual which is incorporated into the _____ (Corporation); notice all caps. I took him out of that status, and he is now an Arizona state national. Which means he is not cargo, rather a living soul. I understand you do not understand, but I invite you to read my first letter. State nationals are not subject to the _____ (Corporation) therefore, not subject to the court's jurisdiction. Why did I change his status? So, the courts can no longer look at him as cargo (a slave). You don't believe in slavery, do you? ALL CORPORATIONS operate in commerce/contracts even courts. Read the history of laws created to abolish slavery; those laws were created and laid down long before _____ was president. I invite you to read about those laws, you can find them in _____

" **Admiralty law** is for the sea, maritime law governs contracts between parties that trade over the sea. Well, that's not what our fore-fathers intended."

Your letter indicates _____ was at court on _____ and he was not. The Petitioner did file a demand to dismiss, by changing your jurisdiction. I sent a letter to the courthouse dated _____ in preparation to present his case. Stop putting words in mine and _____ mouth. He also is not a defendant because I changed his "Standing" in my letter on your court documents. Standing in court refers to the summons. Courts summons People in "General" appearance and I changed his standing to "Divine Special Appearance." Look at my letter. Courts summon People into court under "General appearance." My letter corrects both status and standing. Side note your court summoned him, then kicked his "attorney in fact" (me) out unlawfully.

Everything in a courtroom is about status, standing and jurisdiction. I invite you to go research and get your law tuition back.

UNDERSTAND every court is a corporation. Every corporation operates in commerce and contracts. The People paying fines in your courtroom did not sign your court's contract. Bring forth the contract and prove all _____ elements of your contract were met with the People. Are we living souls not commerce or under court's contracts? You do not believe in slavery, do you? The courts make living soul's cargo and courts see themselves as a ship in dry dock. Really BS. The People coming through any corporation courtroom are not subject to the corporation contracts and by-laws, only the stockholders, shareholders and employees. **Do your research of corporations.**

Please understand I am not against speeding violations. What I am against is there is no due process, ticketholders are deemed guilty and are expected to pay outrageous fines. What I am against is the for-profit corporation stockholders and shareholders making _____ off the backs of Americans. This will stop and I know how the process works to stop these kangaroo and de facto courts. I know how to stop these courts of admiralty, which are commerce and contract courts, not common law courts.

I know these concepts are new to you and you do not understand. Keep an open mind. The law of America is the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, our Bill of Rights and treaties. I invite you to start there and look at my letter again because I quoted LAW to you. Every person working for the government is subject to uphold the Constitution of their state and of the United States. See first letter with explanation.

I advised you that statutes and regulations are not LAW, yet you found it necessary to quote regulations to me. I will copy and paste for you from my first letter. I invite you to read below.

Color of law refers to an act done under the appearance of legal authorization, when in fact no such right existed.

It appears you do not understand the LAW because your court is run by regulations under the color of law, which is defined for you above. Try to comprehend. Open mind.

18 U.S. Code § 242. Deprivation of rights under color of law

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both;

The Arizona state constitution and U.S. constitution both say our rights cannot be usurped by statutes or regulation. I invite you to read my first letter and the above U.S. Code again.

Your de facto letter assigned another case number and is frankly conduct of a tyrant. You kicked me out of the lobby and would not allow me in your courtroom because in my first letter, I wanted the case to be recorded and made public. I do not know why you were screaming at me to get out when I was glad to leave your corporation. You screamed at me when I started to say something to you and threatened me with a gun. How did you do that? You said you would call policy enforcers (cops who have perceived authority because they force our will under the threat of being shot) if I did not leave. I assume you are not stupid because you could see me gathering my things and leaving. My sister is my witness. Your conduct is of a tyrant. By the way you can find "Conduct of a Tyrant" in the Declaration of Independence. I invite you to read it and it will be in this letter too for your reading pleasure.

I did not expect this case would be dismissed; my expectation which is my right, is that would have his day in court and the proceedings would be recorded for public records. Why were you so vexed?

In your de facto letter you assigned a case no: Why? He already had a Ticket / Complaint number. You ordered he pay a fine of by What you failed to include in your de facto letter was to explain his option which was traffic school. Why? I want answers, the whys are not rhetorical. finished traffic school prior to receiving your letter. Your letter dated was received after finished his traffic class on I advised to sign up, pay and finish traffic school, because I anticipated deviant behavior from you, and I was right. You sent a de facto tyrant letter. You should have his certificate in your courthouse by now. **I suggest strongly you leave this case alone. You want to act like a tyrant then come after me**

and leave a alone. has met all requirements of the ticket/complaint based upon your very own regulations. See your paperwork to the People.

I think you think my visit to your corporation was to enter a not guilty plea. Madam it was NOT to enter a not guilty plea. It was a request to dismiss, because I challenged jurisdiction and under my challenge, I was correct and his ticket should have been dismissed, this does not constitute a not guilty plea, mainly because neither nor I entered a not guilty plea. I would not allow to enter a not guilty because I know your court would have found him guilty and he would not be able to attend traffic school. It was your courts burden to prove guilt, provide a hearing with another bench warmer. I invite you to look up the definitions of not guilty and dismissal. Additionally, if you really believe the letter indicated a not guilty plea YOU are not following the process on the paperwork of your courthouse when one is given a ticket. Which is if one pleads not guilty, YOU are required to give him another hearing and prove he was speeding. I do not think it would be ethical or moral for YOU to conduct such a hearing. Do you? When did you schedule his not guilty hearing? Is not this conduct of a tyrant conduct?

On your Fine/Sanction Schedule back side where it says "YOU HAVE RECEIVED A TRAFFIC CITATION. THIS DOCUMENT WILL EXPLAIN YOUR OPTIONS."

Option 2 (Not guilty/Not Responsible): Request a court hearing/trial. (Punishment for pleading not guilty, "If you request a hearing or trial you will not be able to attend defensive driving school"). On the receipt of your not responsible / not guilty plea, the court will set a hearing date. When did you set a hearing date for a not guilty plea?

I am going to have the "Not Responsible" language changed. It is incorrect. I suggest you read your courts guidelines before going off on a tangent.

Option right hand side under "Advantages of attending a class for the violation": bullet point 2
▪ "There is no fine or conviction (you pay only the school diversion fee").

What part of your own regulations do you not understand? These are not the People's regulations. You think as a bench warmer you can change anything at anytime because you are angered and seek revenge? You cannot and I remind you your behavior is conduct of a tyrant.

has meet option see his certificate enclosed. Please feel free to read your own rules.

I did not write that was not a citizen of Arizona. Look at my letter. I said he was an Arizona state national. You research the difference. is lawfully residing in Arizona and free to travel or live anywhere in the U.S. Look up the statuses of U.S. Code. I gave you his code.

You say you have jurisdiction in the Arizona Constitution Article 6, 32 C, please review the definition of jurisdiction above. You can only use regulations if they are not in contradiction or contrary to the U.S. Constitution, see reference of the Arizona constitution in first letter. Also, regulations are not law and subject to their constitutionality. I did not challenge the regulation, I did not have to, I challenged the

jurisdiction. You do not understand what jurisdiction means. I gave you the meaning above. Jurisdiction is not a place, but rather what part of LAW is the court operating in.

You mentioned in your letter when the Arizona constitution was approved. Great news, however, try reading it as I have done to UNDERSTAND THE LAW. I read 910 F. 2d 1569 - United States v. Schneider, did you? It has nothing to do with jurisdiction. I think you are confused.

I read Article 13 of the Articles of Confederation also nothing to do with jurisdiction or one status as a state national. I think you are confused.

Did you have a clerk in the office look up case law and regulations? Things were put into your letter which were just irrelevant. I understand the REGULATION 28-1552. I guess I will say this until Land LAW sinks deep within your enlightened mine, regulations are not law and if a regulation is in contradiction or contrary to the state or U.S. constitutions, they are NULL & VOID. See reference of the LAW below. But it does not matter, I was not challenging the speeding ticket regulation, I was challenging your jurisdiction. I understand common law are very foreign concepts to your ingrained wrong way of thinking.

However, it is a regulation. Think about 28 U.S. Code 241. Please read it AGAIN located above. Regulations are not LAW.

I am now going to teach you about the BAR. The information will be foreign to you and you will not want to believe what I tell you. Keep an open mind. I will copy and paste from a letter I am sending to the Legislature, the Governor, the Board of Supervisors, your common council, board of supervisors and the commission of judicial conduct. I am making a complaint about you and about your conduct acting like a tyrant. You kicked me out of the courthouse without ever letting me speak. You summoned me! You only asked me questions, was present, I said no and if I am a BAR attorney, and I said no. When I started to explain, you screamed at me and told me to shut up, and threatened me at gun point by calling cops, EVEN THOUGH I WAS LEAVING. Then you sent a threatening de facto letter and did not advised him he could complete traffic school as an option.

I did not say has no contract with the state of Arizona. I said he has no contract with your court corporation, he did not sign your courthouse corporation contract. Your court is a corporation with a contract. Bring forth the contract and show where he signed it and all elements of your contract were met. By signing he would agree with the court corporation. Every court has their own corporation by-laws, shareholders and officers. Therefore, he does not have to abide by your regulations because he did not come into to contract with you. Let that sink in because these are the facts of common law, the only LAW any court should practice. I am not sure if you do not understand or you do understand and do not want to give up your perceived authority and the outrageous received by corporation shareholders and officers. **Are you a shareholder or officer of**

This will be under investigation and the courts as you and I know them will change to common LAW. Unfortunately, very few understand law and the wheels of justice are very slow. You said in Arizona you use a Social Contract. Again, where did sign this Social Contract and when were the elements of the contract met. Bring forth the contract and let me see where he signed with a "wet"

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**