

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-124

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

July 16, 2020

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge impersonated a witness and presented perjured testimony in an out-of-state criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on July 16, 2020.

2020-124

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For his complaint, \_\_\_\_\_ asserts  
that \_\_\_\_\_ County Superior Court Commissioner,  
\_\_\_\_\_ has violated Rule 81, Arizona  
Rules of Judicial Conduct: (1) Rule 1.1, compliance with  
the law, (2) Rule 1.2, promoting confidence in the  
judiciary, and (3) Rule 2.10, judicial statements on  
pending and impending cases. \_\_\_\_\_ moves the  
commission to conduct an inquiry into allegations  
of criminal misconduct that is supported by clear  
and convincing evidence in the form of grand jury  
and trial transcript, \_\_\_\_\_ County Superior Court  
expense reports for the months of \_\_\_\_\_ and  
\_\_\_\_\_ and personal checking account statements  
that demonstrate his presence in \_\_\_\_\_  
and \_\_\_\_\_ during the time period in  
question.

I, USDC-Anchorage, \_\_\_\_\_

In \_\_\_\_\_ trial testimony  
an \_\_\_\_\_ appeared as  
an Account Analyst from \_\_\_\_\_  
to prevent prosecution testimony against  
at trial under oath and before a jury,  
argues that \_\_\_\_\_ committed perjury on  
the stand, (All State vs. LaBarr, 114 Ariz 440 (1977)),

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**



**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

pursuant to ARS 13-2702, (See State vs. Feder, 179 Ariz 442 (1994) and should be sanctioned where that testimony was material, intentional and knowingly, and caused harm and injury to the defendant in the form of an unconstitutional conviction. That testimony is supported by the record, transcript, state expense reports, personal checking account records and many federal witnesses including the jury. In Labore and Feder the crime is a felony and punishable by imprisonment and forfeiture of state benefits (See ARS 13-713).

asserts that pursuant to ARS 13-2409, obstructing criminal prosecutions, and ARS 13-2810, interfering with judicial proceedings, improper behavior and poor decision making skills should be sanctioned pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes and the Arizona Constitution, article 6.1, section 3 and 4. This complaint is a "call to action" to correct a vigilante who is clothed in authority and has taken advantage of that authority to deprive another person for personal gratification. (See In re Abinans, 227 Ariz 248 (2011), and US vs. Lonier, 520 US 259 (1997)).