

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-167

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

August 26, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge misspelled his name on a court document to mock him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on August 26, 2020.



2020-167

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

engaged in judicial
 Misconduct, and conduct unbecoming of a
 Judge when he chose to "mock" me
 By purposely writing " " on
 a court document. In A CASE where I (custody)
 have been fighting Custodial Interference (family court)
 By Son mothers Husband.
 A Cheap shot for a judge ^{who} said "alleged
 interference" In a case with over years
 of recorded misconduct.
 ALL I ASK is that Commission be AWARE
 of who it on the bench

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF [REDACTED]

HONORABLE [REDACTED]

DIVISION [REDACTED]

DATE: [REDACTED]

COURT NOTICE/ORDER/RULING

In re the Matter of:

vs.

Petitioner,

NO. [REDACTED]

Respondent.

Thesis Ajoke

Full name

The Court is in receipt of Petitioner's "Motion: Stop all wage garnishment, suspend all negative credit reporting" filed [REDACTED]

Petitioner's motion does not cite to any law or rule of procedure which would permit this Court to grant the relief being sought, namely, to relieve Petitioner of the financial judgments long ago entered by the Court and to "hold Respondent responsible" for not stopping stepfather's alleged interference with Petitioner's and the parties' now-adult son's relationship.

For the foregoing reason, **IT IS ORDERED** denying Petitioner's motion without prejudice.

The Court takes no further action at this time.

cc:

[REDACTED]
Petitioner (Pro Per)

Honorable [REDACTED]
Superior Court Judge