

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-180

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 24, 2020

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge denied him due process, made improper evidentiary rulings, and colluded in prosecutorial misconduct.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 24, 2020.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2020-180

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name;

Judge's Nam

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

see Attachment, Five Pages

Name _____
Mailing Address: _____

Daytime Telephone No.: _____
Representing Self, without a Lawyer

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

In Re the Matter of

Plaintiff/Petitioner

vs

Defendant/Respondent

Case No. _____
NOTICE OF FILING Alleged Prosecutorial
Misconduct and Malfeasance

Division _____

The undersigned party gives notice of:
See Attachment for explicite comments and details of allegations to this submitted statement:
Superior Court submission prior to hearing for verbal explanation as Respondants' statement.
During hearing Judge _____ accepted teleconferance but would not verify verbal evidence.
No Due Process was allowed for Respondants' character, intent, nor abilities as Pro Se
Self Administered Self representation without Lawyer.

Printed Name

Copy of this pleading mailed to:

Date mailed: _____

*preliminary issue
last file till*

In Reference to my Prosecutorial Misconduct and Malfeasance allegations to:

The attachments to this letter are the Superior Courts filing I made in [redacted] in attempts to settle any remaining disagreements. Any remaining simple disagreements should have been easy settlements that might have been remaining following my bankruptcy discharge, the first [redacted] filings in [redacted]

However, it appears the Petitioners Attorney has had more financially remaining retirement(s) properties in which he could push for more attorney fees that are without merit since I have no assets to sue for. Again, I only possess less than a [redacted] dollars in furniture, appliances, no retirement nor assets in stocks, bonds nor anything negotiable instruments or papers (loans etc.).

Attachment labeled "DECREE OF DISSOLUTION OF A NON-COVENANT MARRIAGE W/O MINOR CHILDREN" HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE SUPERIOR COURT. Of course there is no Superior Court record of this due to unregistered nature of the submission made in [redacted] and [redacted]

Additionally, "THE MOTION TO WAIVE MEDIATION OR FOR REASONABLE PROCEDURES" was submitted at the same time as the above Superior Court documents and only the [redacted] filing was responded to. And get this matter completed apparently not applicable to the Superior Courts desires nor the Attorney At Law intentions.

Due to the nature and desire that has become evident from the documents submitted recently in an attempt at settlement before proven liable I have taken it upon my self to issue a personal waiver of responsibility in the credit union and lock of my credit report.

"Due to an error in judgment, my most recent spouse ([redacted]) through her attorney [redacted] are making a [redacted] Superior Court attempts at encumbering my retired financial assets following my bankruptcy completion on [redacted] As a result of divorce pre-settlement attempts.

I realize and understand these impacts and now an issue in my financial disclosure statements."

"I, [redacted] am only responsible for my own verifiable debts, credit cards, auto loans and any other financial vehicle personally made by my own verifiable ownership. I have, at this time, have applied for credit locks on all my accounts prior to [redacted] I am responsible for only my own debts and no other court ordered credits applied for as they do not apply to myself and are attempts at credit malfeasance and identity theft."

Signed:

[redacted] seeks remediation from the Arizona Bar and the Clerk of the Superior Court in the constitution of this matters attempt to defraud or misconduct or malfeasance.

*Preliminary Copy
Can't file till*

Page

Case Number :

It has become more evident and obvious to the respondent, following several interactions with the Superior Court Hearings requesting a Day Order of Protection and the Evidentiary Hearing Respondent alleges that days before the latest evidentiary hearing of petitioners attorney sent to respondent an unregistered with the Superior Court, demand financial intimidation letter for Petitioner's immediate acquiescence to pay the debts of Petitioner, for

The recent Superior Court denial of an order of protection (only a day request) was evidence enough of prosecutorial collusion and attempted malfeasance of the Superior Court. My consistent claims of the petitioners history of domestic sexual assault by her husband number has history back through when petitioner exclaimed to me where this domestic sexual incidence occurred on Road cemetery entrance, that to me was an astonishing and stunning admission made to me in the summer of by petitioner.

In addition to the prior statement, since the Order of Protection was denied, respondent still had an obligation to management to honor my efforts and since this became a direct claim for management to deal with, all my staffing employee had to again complete our based "Shooter Training". Which is mandated when a specific claim of this magnitude is made on an employee. This type of situation is quite a serious matter to staffing in as I attempted to also let the Honorable Judge aware of during the Request for Protection Order hearing, as I stated the serious nature of my claim and request to the Superior Court Clerk in County Court House.

Additionally, the Evidentiary Hearing ordered respondent in "Contempt of Court Order." And respondent was ordered to pay a month starting in

Documentation outlining these allegations of misconduct and malfeasance is part of this submission.

In support of respondents filings with the Superior Court for this Case, Avidities of respondents' character, career achievements and history, current respondents' job achievements with and financial payments of rent for my current "community" living arrangements. In which respondent owns the premises and not the lot rent. I exclaim that this be reviewed as the Honorable Judge stated was in-admission-able as supporting evidence.

Respondent has made attempts to settle by Superior Court Decree. One in filings made on when petitioner became ineligible to transfer my health insurance because she kept changing her order of last names and addresses, submitted in exhibits. None of these settlement exhibits was presented to Superior Court by the Honorable Judge in-admission-able as supporting evidence. Another example of prosecutorial procedural misconduct and malfeasance respondent alleges.

*Preliminary Copy
Cont file till*

Page

Case Number :

All of these allegations have made "Due Process" an elusive factor during these entire past months of this divorce case. This affair should have been settled with the first attempt for Superior Court Decree in before the years' end.

The rationale for my mentioning the jurisdiction by the Arizona Bar is primarily that my own participation for any and all due process was "crowded out" or "stamped out" as indicated by the evidentiary hearing was denied in Superior Court by a physical presence. I was telephoned challenged by the Superior Court call of representative, to deny my physical appearance with out cause. To call in for a teleconference hearing via teleconference which is old technology and proved inadequate evidence since no one could hear active verbal participation. Thus, telefaxed his evidence into the Superior Court that very morning of to the Court Clerks office for hand delivery and was denied admittance as evidence by Judge verbal acceptance that she had received it.

It is with great in-trepidation that I seek, and desire some form of justice in this apparent sham to acquire my assets and home all owned by The Living Trust is under financial assault by Petitioner's attorney when it is all following Federal Bankruptcy jurisdiction and remainder is exempt from liability as retirement funding.

I, do only own about dollars of furniture. My home and automobile are my only remaining assets since my divorce in wiped out my business assets basis. A divorce from was ordered to pay more than dollars and I have spent years rebuilding my assets since This divorce has all the trade-markings of a "marriage scam" or "retirement scam" just as my prior marriage in did. The last half of the " have no other place to get or acquire their retirement with the exception of praying on their peers by "hook or crook" as the Arizona Attorney General has stated.

I have been retired since before this marriage was executed. Forcibly medical retirement by no false action of my own making and I ask you why is this Superior Court Judge is colluding and acting in a manner and method of "prosecutorial misconduct and malfeasance."

I again ask the Arizona Bar and the Clerk of the Superior Court to act on my remediation in this matter with corrective action, A Judicial Decree of Divorce and reversal of the court ordered contempt of court decree, retroactive to

I am disabled, yet, still able to work and contribute, but, will never financially recover from something like these actions. I was just released from the Emergency Room on I am now having to move my hospitalization disabilities' future recovery efforts into the Healthcare due to the fact there are no advanced physician facilities in Arizona that will benefit to my retirement health efforts, I may even have to relocate to a assisted living facility in to retain my abilities to continue working in my retirement.

*Preliminary Copy
Not file till*

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**