

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-211

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 15, 2020

The Complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace improperly issued a protective order.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 15, 2020.

Attachments: text_0.txt

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;
Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

Subject:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

during pandemic no internet public access. I am requesting a phone conference call with related to cjc complaint 3 and 4, that happened today courts are publishing incorrect mailing addresses to new plaintiff cases, based on old data last year defendant moved w family, to get out of dangerous. Now plaintiff is following defendant with lawsuits of again repeat fraudulent data, COPY PASTE reproductions...I need to get out of the county defense because they are not admitting that the harassment has always been from plaintiff, who wont stop, defendant moved and .doesn't even live around the serial litigating plaintiff. The county can't defend me if they won't acknowledge plaintiff has been creating false cases since needs to start protecting the defendant who clearly needs a p.o. and can not get that protection from If we talk and record the call, mass of confusion can be greatly minimized. The plaintiff has never had a case...and still doesn't have a case, and the

years that this plaintiff continues to stalk me anywhere
and in court, WOULD NOT HAVE HAPPENED IF
DEFENDANT COULD GET PROTECTION TO STOP PLAINTIFF.
so _ family helped, and _ hasn't lived in the
dangerous neighborhood for _ Trying to sell land.
Have lost _ already on land value, subdivision is now
industrial, so my home value has been lost, at _ of
age _ And yet the county court enable this
plaintiff power to continue to stalk me with repeat,
duplicate, out of date info. I need to talk to you as the cjc.
I've gone thru enough. This is far from just. RE: Told
constable I already had _ cases, and they just force serve
more today _ I told them I don't have
computer access during pandemic, I already had _ cases
on my desk, I can't answer them w no computer access
during pandemic. regards

Attachments: text_0.txt

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;
Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

Subject:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

How can [redacted] give a 2nd p.o. to a neighbor that moved [redacted] earlier? [redacted] can give p.o. to vacant gone moved neighbors? How can [redacted] issue a p.o. on out dated re used data, in [redacted] cases already used, data, all laundered thru [redacted] previous cases. The plaintiff is mass duplicating the same info over and over...How can [redacted] issue a p.o. without a hearing? It is clear, the plaintiff wants MONEY. THIS IS ALL ABOUT GETTING SOMEHOW SOME MONEY CLAIM on the defendant... a financial hook. [redacted] cases already, The [redacted] are refusing to look at who moved away, to try and sell....and who is still harassing who. The [redacted] are enabling [redacted] of abuse and endangerment to a old woman [redacted] who already tried to sell the r.e. land, since [redacted]...and had to emergency move w family help to escape plaintiff following videoing filing case after case made up into a fraud forged story. I CAN'T GET DEFENSE OR PROTECTION from [redacted] nor public defender...everyone gets lost in the sea of paper and is missing the abuse to yhis defendant, who chose to move, to try and get the land sold.. regards

This message was sent to you by a phone.

Attachments: text_0.txt

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;
Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

Subject:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

CASE #'s sorted out to try and get computers once pandemic opens office computers and libraries... Monday . The 2nd DENIAL of SERVICES lockdown went back into effect, preventing answers to the new plaintiff cases. The [redacted] on the survey is already in [redacted] for hearing dated, [redacted] It followed ([redacted]).... I am also working on additional cases, at the same time, both, the state citationCM and it's result determines the contempt turned into obeisance...both [redacted] and. [redacted] (is used twice to incl obeisance to citation, accusation). THESE ARE THE LAUNDERED DUPLICATE REPEAT CASES... That should only leave 1 case .. I can't accept service, on this last remaining case [redacted] until I can use a computer in [redacted] until the 2ndlockdown [redacted] is over, so I can use a computer to answer the last case. Right now w this new 2nd lockdown, the final case on your desk, [redacted] has to wait for return of services by governor. I heard possibly [redacted] I am already working on the other [redacted] cases right now. Possibly [redacted] . regards

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**