

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-231

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 8, 2020

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge has a conflict of interest in a criminal matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 8, 2020.

DEAR JUDICIAL COMMISSION

MY NAME IS

I AM THE FATHER OF

I BELIEVE MY SON ALREADY FILED A COMPLAINT WITH THIS

COMMISSION IN REGARDS TO JUDGE

I BELIEVE THE FILE

NO IS

I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS FOR THIS COMMISSION. FIRST, IF JUDGE HAD REMOVED HIMSELF FROM A FAMILY COURT CASE DUE TO A CONFLICT OF INTEREST WHY WOULD THIS JUDGE REFUSE TO ACKNOWLEDGE A CONFLICT OF INTEREST NOW? AND SECOND, WOULDN'T HAVING INTIMATE DETAILS OF MY SON'S FAMILY ISSUES AND HAVING HAD AN ISSUE/PROBLEM WITH MY SON IN FAMILY COURT CREATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ACT UNIMPARTIALLY AND BIAS?

TO DATE THIS JUDGE HAS DONE THINGS IN COURT THAT APPEARS TO BE BIAS AND APPEARS EXTREMELY UNIMPARTIAL! HE IGNORES KEY FACTS IN REGARDS TO MY SON'S CASE. HE'S ATTEMPTED TO VIOLATE MY SON'S RIGHT TO A SPEEDY TRIAL AND HE'S TAKEN AT A TIME TO RULE ON MY SON'S MOTIONS BUYING TIME FOR THE PROSECUTION!

I'M SORRY BUT SOMETHING ABOUT THIS JUDGE IS ALL WRONG. I WOULD LIKE TO FILE A COMPLAINT ON THIS JUDGE... MY SON HAS BROUGHT THIS CONFLICT OF INTEREST TO AWARENESS AND HAS REFUSED TO ACKNOWLEDGE ITS EXISTENCE. THIS JUDGE SHOULD BE REMOVED AND SANCTIONED FOR HIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL BEHAVIOR.

SINCERELY,