

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-310

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 3, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him, did not timely give him hearings on his motions, and made erroneous rulings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 3, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2020-310

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Attached find my motions to To Change Venue And Motion to Set For certain Faratta Hearing, with Supporting FACT(S) AND Ground(S) Properly Filed with Both Judge, State, Counsel of Record AND Court Clerk, with Clerk Being in Receipt of original Hand written copy ON _____ Judge Denied myself; The Defendant in _____ the Right To A on Record finding of his Competence AND Acknowledgement of waiver Pursuant to _____, As well As ignoring the 2 Attached motions; Not Hearing them within the 3 working Days Prescribed By Law. Also Presidal over The trial of case No: _____ which is Now Pending Appeal within higher Court, Judge is Bias to defendant Not Allowing Defendant Preservation of his Rights And Cannot Possibly offer Defendant A fair And Just trial, AS: He is Not Properly handling Motions, A Faratta motion is Not to be filed By counsel of Record, Rather By defendant.

2020-310

Pro-se

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

Plaintiff

VS

Defendant

CASE No:

Motion For Change of Venue
Due to Conflict of Interest

COMES NOW, before this Honorable Court, The Defendant, PRO-SE; Pursuant to U.S. Constitution Amendments AND ARS, § Rules For Criminal Procedure, In the Pursuit of Justice AND due Process of the Law, Hereby motions this Honorable Court To Change Venue Due to Conflict of Interest. Given the Following Ground(s) AND FACT(s) in Support there of;

FACT(S)

1.) On _____, The Honorable Judge presided over A trial in which The Defendants rights were terminated TO minor

(1 of 3)

2.) The Aforementioned case number is as follows;

3.) The Defendants Lawyer then Filed Direct Appeal of Judge Decisions.

GROUND(S)

1.) Due to Pending Appeal in District Court, Honorable _____ Cannot Possibly Provide Defendant with fair trial.

2.) Due to Judge _____ Prior knowledge of Case, He cannot provide Non Biased opinion of Defendant.

3.) Defendant Being Previously tried in a trial setting, Judge Wright Has Already Viewed Habeas Corpus Filing, motions And Personal legal Papers As Entered them into Court Evidence

4.) On _____ Defendant Pleads 5th Amendment Right to questions About this case, Judge _____ He may infer Guilt.

5.) Due to the Nature of the Past trial Having Details About this case, The Direct Appeal Pending Against Judge _____ Decision By Defendant And Judge _____ Biased opinion of Defendant, Court must Change venue As Defendant Proffers.
Signed This, _____ day of _____,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, (Pro-Se) Hereby Certify that
on this, 2, VIA HAND
DELIVERY SERVICE to County Sheriff's
office Detention Officer's Custody to wit: to be
Delivered VIA U.S. FIRST CLASS MAIL Service,
That A True Copy of the foregoing was
Delivered to: THE office of the State At:

AND VIA Efile to other Interested Parties.

Signed this

Pro-Se

e

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

Plaintiff,

CASE NO(S):

Motion to Set NELSON/Faretta Hearing

VS.
Defendant.

Comes Now before this Honorable Court, Defendant, (Pro-Se), Invoking His Right(s) under the U.S. Constitution AND A.R.S. § to Self representation Pursuant to Faretta vs. California, in the Pursuit of His Rights to Due Process of the Law Protected by the U.S. Constitution; Gives the following Ground(s) AND Fact(s) in Support thereof

GROUND(S) AND FACT(S)

1.) Defendant has sent many letters to Counsel of record, with no reply at all.

2.) Counsel of record does not return phone calls AND messages from family Requesting in Person visitation to discuss case matters AND Defendants wishes.

(1)

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**