

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-325

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 15, 2021

The Complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace violated Rules 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, and 2.8 of the Code of Judicial Conduct in an eviction action.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 15, 2021.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

20-325

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See Attached

The judge broke Rule 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3 of the Arizona Code of Judicial conduct by stating " . " This demonstrates the Judges incompetence because my argument was also weighted in the law and the judge felt pressure to side with a lawyer versus a self represented party. A.R.S. § 33-1368(A) gives landlords a timeline of when they are required to service maintenance issues and I filed exhibits showing consistently went outside the mandated guidelines. A.R.S. § 33-1381 also states that my family is protected from eviction due to the severe maintenance and health issues in my contracted unit. The judge accepted eviction paperwork and testimony from property management which is against the law as all property owners and landlords must file for eviction. The judge broke Rule 2.1 of the Arizona Code of Judicial conduct by not being aware of my life case or exhibits prior to trial. Rule 2.2 was violated because the judge showed unfairness towards my defenses. She did not let me cross examine the plaintiff's witness because she was not open minded to where I was leading the witness and how it related to the case. Rule 2.3(A)(B)The Judge has assisted and in their retaliation and harassment towards my family and I. The judge did not review my exhibits and sided with the plaintiff based on misleading and inflammatory statements. The judge failed her administrative duties by entering the judgment online incorrectly. The judge listed is not the one that heard the case that day. I did not sign or accept any judgment.

In stating " " the judge demonstrated a fear of criticism breaking Rule 2.4. The judge broke Rule 2.5 by not reviewing exhibits before judgment and not starting trial on time. Rule 2.6 the judge often interrupted my testimony and allowed the plaintiff's attorney to speak over me multiple times. My testimony was not fully heard. The judge did not follow Rule 2.7 because she allowed pressure from the plaintiff's defendant to force her into a decision before reviewing filings as she had promised during trial. Rule 2.8 (A)(B) was violated during the proceedings because the judge allowed the plaintiff to interrupt my testimony multiple times. The plaintiff's attorney didn't object, he would simply begin to talk over me and distract from my testimony. The judge also allowed the trial to continue although I expressed that I would like to respect my constitutional right to be seen and heard during trial and the judge allowed the plaintiff's attorney and witness to appear telephonically due to COVID-19. The judge did not exercise a right to decide for trial to be held at a later date so that I can be seen and heard. The judge did not follow Rule 2.15(B) because she listened to give dishonest testimony and did not act on it. began to ask the courts to dismiss photos claiming he didn't have time to review them but also admitted to receiving them before trial and having time to review them.