State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace engaged in improper ex parte communications and participated in judge shopping.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

After review, the Commission found the manner in which the underlying case at issue was transferred to an out-of-county judge gave rise to an appearance of impropriety. While this was improper under Rule 1.2 of the Code of Judicial Conduct, the Scope Section of the Code provides that not every transgression will result in the imposition of discipline. The Commission decided, after considering all the facts and circumstances, to dismiss the Complaint pursuant to Commission Rules 16(b) and 23(a), but to issue a warning letter to the judicial officer reminding the judge to consider how the optics of a behind-the-scenes transfer can result in an appearance of impropriety.

Commission member Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: May 13, 2021

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 13, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

20-331

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:		
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.		
See Appendix A: Motion to Vacate (incorporated herein). See Letters to Exhibits 1 & 2 of the Motion to Vacate.		
Attorney engaged in a clever fraudulent scheme to forum/judge shop on behalf of Defendants in collusion with Judge and Judge from County Court. engaged in ex parte communications with Judges and allegedly to alleviate " ' due to Pro Tempore (inactive) status. According to Code of Judicial Conduct Application D, there is no conflict.		
Judges and did not comply with Code of Judicial Conduct, Rule 2.9. and who are not assigned to the case, did not promptly notify Plaintiffs or about the ex parte communications and the contents of the communications, nor provided opportunity for Plaintiffs to present objections prior to venue transfer and reassignment.		
Without Plaintiffs or knowledge or consent due to circumvented notice and hearing in violation of A.R.S. §§ 22-114 and 12-411, Judge contacted Presiding Judge of County Court and Presiding Judge of County Court to transfer this case from the apparent highly competent attorney, the Honorable as Judge of this case.		
Judge previously violated rights to due process regarding an invalid traffic ticket.		
See Appendix, which outline the fraudulent scheme and all violations of Judicial Conduct itemized per rule.		
Plaintiffs have no confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary due to the impropriety of this misconduct. should have called or written Plaintiffs a letter to disclose his alleged conflict prior to engaging in ex parte conversations with Judges and and prior to filing an answer on behalf of the into County Court. alleged conflict of interest was used as a ruse to judge shop; to obtain a non-lawyer Justice of the Peace in County with kindred political affiliations and crony connections via endorsement of		
Such conduct destroys public confidence in the judiciary, which uses an ideal of integrity—to avoid conflicts of interest. This misconduct turns such ideals on its head to defeat the core tenets of the judicial process.		
For reasons stated herein and in the enclosed Appendix, Presiding Judge violated Rules of the Code of Judicial Conduct. Judge should be reprimended and disciplined.		

<u>APPENDIX A</u>

1	Plaintiff pro se Plaintiff pro se Legist
2	riamini pro se Legisi
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE COURT
7	IN THE COUNTY STATE OF A DIZONA
8	COUNTY, STATE OF ARIZONA
9	an unmarried woman; Case No.:
10	an unmarried man; MOTION TO VACATE COURT'S Plaintiffs, MINUTE ENTRY AND ORDER
11	-vs- SIGNED CLAIMING
12	wife and husband et ur " AND STAY ALL
13	Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants.
) Assigned to Honorable
14) Improperly transferred to JP) who declined, then transferred back to
15	County and assigned to ') JP from County—
16	Without Plaintiffs' Knowledge or Consent
17	To Defendants per 128(c): You have a right to file a written response to this motion
18	within ten (10) days from the date this motion was served. Your response must be filed with
19	the court, and copies of your response must be served on the other parties as provided by Rule 120 of the [" "]. The court may treat
20	your failure to respond to a motion as your consent that the motion be granted.
21	Pursuant to 141(c)(1)&(3) and 143 involving errors affecting
22	" resulting in " per A.R.S. §§ 12-411 & 22-204
23	and A.R.S. Sup.Ct.Rules, Rule 81, Code of Judicial Conduct ("CJC") 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 2.3,
24	2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.11, 2.12, and 2.15, (" ") and
25	(" ') (collectively " ') move to vacate the Court's unfounded assertion
26	that the assignment of this case to Judge "was proper.".
27	Plaintiffs provided evidence of how Defendants' Attorney

1	(" ") engaged in fraud, misrepresentation, and other misconduct. See also
2	letters stipulated by incorporated into argument herein, that were sent
3	(EXHBIT 1) and (EXHBIT 2) to with copies
4	sent to and County Court Presiding Judges and
5	Justice Court presiding Judge Attorney and
6	Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct. Judge rejected receipt of the letter sent
7	As such, Judge opinion that transfer was " 'is clear error and
8	must be vacated. Further, it was issued before Plaintiffs' Replies were due per
9	115 and 128 in violation of CJC Rules supra.
10	admits to ex parte communications with Presiding Judge
11	and Associate Presiding Judge <u>in</u> See "
12	' filed
13	Ex parte communications must comply with CJC 2.9(A)(1)(b), (A)(3), (B), (D)
14	and Comments 1, 2, 5, 9, 10. Judges and were required to <u>PROMPTLY</u>
15	notify Plaintiffs of the ex parte communications and give Plaintiffs an opportunity to
16	respond. Plaintiffs did not learn of the ex parte communications until Plaintiffs received
17	on 'on
18	On Presiding Judge " of
19	by letter to the Honorable and Associate Presiding Judge
20	issued an " 'hearing order stating "
21	." The letter and order do not
22	mention any ex parte communications with Attorney or Associate Presiding
23	The case was allegedly transferred "
24	
25	" Plaintiffs did not receive notice or opportunity to respond prior to the
26	transfer. Plaintiffs did not receive a copy of the letter and order until discovered and
27	received by a court supervisor on Presiding Judge and Associate
- 1	· -

```
Presiding Judge
                                 did not comply with Rule 2.9(A)(1)(b), (A)(3), (B), (D) and
   1
   2
       Comments 1, 2, 5, 9, 10. Ergo, Judges
                                                        and
                                                                     violated CJC 1.1, 1.2, 2.2,
      2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.11<sup>1</sup>, 2.12<sup>2</sup>, and 2.15. Plaintiffs argue that Presiding Judge
   3
      caused Judges
   4
                                                    and
                                                               to violate CJC Rules in violation
      of A.R.S. § 13-3033, or colluded between judges, to be determined by Arizona
   5
  6
      Commission on Judicial Conduct.
  7
             Associate Presiding Judge
                                                 was not assigned to this case. This case was
  8
      assigned to the Honorable
  9
             Associate Presiding Judge
                                                 held an "
                                                                    ' hearing in his order filed
               He did not comply with Rule 2.9(A)(1)(b), (A)(3), (B), (D) and Comments 1, 2,
 10
      5, 9, 10. Plaintiffs did not receive prior notice of the hearing and that Judge
 11
      transferring the case back to
 12
                                         County
                                                                       Court per A.R.S. §§ 22-
 13
 14
        <sup>1</sup> Judge
                         committed similar misconduct in a civil traffic ticket case against
 15
       <sup>2</sup> "Court administration will identify Judge
                                                             as the judge assigned to this case.
      The matter will continue to be heard in
                                                   County
 16
                                                                                 Court, and all
      pleadings and motions shall be filed accordingly. Courtesy copies shall be provided to
 17
     Judge
                   as provided by rule."
                                                  Order filed
       <sup>3</sup> 13-303. Criminal liability based upon conduct of another
18
     A. A person is criminally accountable for the conduct of another if:
     1. The person is made accountable for such conduct by the statute defining the offense; or
19
     2. Acting with the culpable mental state sufficient for the commission of the offense, such
20
     person causes another person, whether or not such other person is capable of forming the
     culpable mental state, to engage in such conduct; or
21
     3. The person is an accomplice of such other person in the commission of an offense
22
     including any offense that is a natural and probable or reasonably foreseeable
     consequence of the offense for which the person was an accomplice.
23
     B. If causing a particular result is an element of an offense, a person who acts with the
     kind of culpability with respect to the result that is sufficient for the commission of the
24
     offense is guilty of that offense if:
25
     1. The person solicits or commands another person to engage in the conduct causing such
     result; or
26
     2. The person aids, counsels, agrees to aid or attempts to aid another person in planning
27
     or engaging in the conduct causing such result.
```

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.