

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 20-335

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 17, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge had denied him his speedy trial rights and was biased in favor of the prosecution.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 17, 2021.

Comp  
2020-335

To whom it may concern,

I would like to file yet another complaint against  
Judge of on

the filed

a new indictment against me adding a

filed the new indictment under a new case

number. failed to drop the previous case

number but informed on

that he must dismiss one of the cases due to the double  
jeopardy clause. chose to drop the old case

number.

has informed my attorney

of the

defenders office that

he was running the new indictment under the old case  
number in order to respect my,

I know this

to be a lie because regardless I am and would still be  
protected by the speedy trial act!

This judge is attempting to stop or prohibit  
me from filing a motion to dismiss because the state  
must provide new evidence in order to reindict for  
the same set of charges or provide justification to  
add new charges to an indictment which is not the  
case here because

filed the new indictment

under a new case number! None of this is legal!

is attempting to protect the  
state and in so doing has created a procedural  
violation as well as a violation of my due process.

I have filed many complaints against this  
judge, he has been anything but impartial... How much

007:250  
MORE IS IT GOING TO TAKE FOR YOU GUYS TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THIS GUY? AM I GOING TO HAVE TO GO TO PRISON BEFORE I ACTUALLY GET A FAIR TRIAL?

THIS JUDGE ALSO HAS SHOWN HIS UNIMPARTIALITY BY ALLOWING TO LIE DURING MY HEARING FOR RELEASE CONDITIONS. STATED THAT I SHOULD NOT BE RELEASED BECAUSE I ASSAULTED A PROSECUTOR... ON DURING ORAL ARGUMENTS INFORMED THE COURT THAT THERE EITHER WAS NO ASSAULT OR THEY HAD NOT WANTED TO PRESS CHARGES. THIS JUDGE CONTINUES TO ALLOW THE STATE TO MANUFACTURE A CASE AGAINST ME BY MANIPULATING THE LAW.

I WOULD LIKE THIS COMMISSION TO DO A COMPLETE REVIEW OF MY ENTIRE CASE AND DOCKET/MINUTE ENTRY TO SEE THE EXTENT OF THIS JUDGES ILLEGALITY AND UNIMPARTIALITY.

THANK YOU  
SINCERELY: