

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 20-361

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 24, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made erroneous rulings, did not timely issue rulings, and was biased in favor of the opposing party.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 24, 2021.

2020 - 361

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge _____ Failed to reconsider recognizable errors he made in his ruling from _____ in these errors specifically in the determination of mothers annual income or income at all, significantly put her at a disadvantage. Judge _____ filed _____ different rulings all unclear as to what he was actually doing or what his actual ruling was. Opposing counsel filed for clarification and again, multiple different orders were issued and nothing was ever clarified. Judge _____ due to being a new judge, doesn't have the experience to handle this case and to thoroughly examine financial records that were clearly spelled out by the accountant of the Respondent especially after a motion for forensic accountant to be provided was denied by him.

He has held on to motions for extreme delayed periods of time and when Respondent had to request for an Emergency Ruling for these after almost _____ after filing while the Respondent has had _____ a _____ taken from her and her kids mouth due to the Judges inforrect interpretation and ruling. Judge _____ Judicial Assistant was contacted _____ via telephone asking for a ruling and via email and began to stop responding to both attempts to contact leaving an emergency motion as the only option to obtain answers.

Judge _____ has clearly sided with opposing counsel who can afford the legal representation yet Respondent cannot afford an attorney has not had the same ability to present her facts to the court. At this time Respondent will be asking for him to recuse himself from all further litigation and Respondent will be addressing these issues with the _____ to also continue with the removal of Judge _____ from this case.

I ask that you review all of Judge _____ rulings to look for a pattern of inability to make judgement due to his inexperience and lack of knowledge of the process of Judicial Ruling. I am sure his years of legal practice make him a well qualified attorney yet he has not had enough time on the bench to accurately rule in cases such as _____ with such complex financials of the Petitioner. Therefore I ask for your assistance in diciplining him for not taking it upon himself to reccuse himself prior to trial knowing the complexity of t e case at hand.