State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-022
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace (then a judicial candidate) violated various provisions of Canon 4 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The Commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him that a judge or a judicial candidate cannot serve as treasurer of their own campaign nor as a party precinct committeeman. The Commission also reminded the judge to ensure that his conduct fully complies with the Code in future elections. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: May 13, 2021

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez
Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 13, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-022

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
I respectfully submit a complaint concerning a candidate for in the Precinct in County. Specifically, his ethical conduct concerning rules 4.2 and 1.1 of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.
Rule 4.2 (A)(1)&(2) requires that all judicial candidates shall "act at all times in a manner consistent with the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary" and "comply with all applicable election, election campaign, and election campaign fund-raising laws and regulations."
Rule 1.1 explicitly requires that "a judge shall comply with the law, including the Judicial Code of Conduct." Although Canon 1 does not apply to judicial candidates, Mr. apparent illegal activity during his campaign would apply retroactively if here were elected.
Both Mr. and are candidates for the Precinct I filed a statement of interest on and Mr. filed the same documentation on Additionally, Mr. publicly announced that he was running for the vacant position on or around making him subject to the ethics guidelines in Canon 4.
My complaint focuses on two events: 1. Mr. deliberate and illegal campaign and contractual interference caused by enticing contracted signature gatherers to work on his campaign, and 2. Mr. attempts to conceal his identity while litigating against several other candidates.
Event 1 - Campaign and Contractual Interference
In order to appear on the ballot, both Mr. and needed signatures each to qualify as a candidate. Due to my rigorous work schedule, I hired to gather nomination signatures on my behalf. She in turn hired and to begin collecting signatures from voters. Through their efforts, the were prolific in finding qualified voters to sign my nomination petitions.
On or around Mr. approached the and offered them an opportunity to work on his campaign at a cost higher than what they were currently being paid. The agreed, and within they quit their work on my campaign and began to gather signatures on Mr. behalf. This caused a great disruption in the early stages of my campaign; I lost my most productive signature gatherers, and had to scramble to meet the required voter signatures to qualify for the ballot.
Despite the inconvenience to my campaign, Mr. gained an early tactical advantage and his execrable conduct intentionally interfered with the contractual arrangement I had with the prevent my lawful attempt to qualify as a candidate for public office.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE					
Name:	Judge's Name:				
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the words what you believe the judge did that constitut names, dates, times, and places that will help the comple attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	same size to file tes judicial miscon nission understand	a complaint. Describe in your own iduct. Be specific and list all of the lyour concerns. Additional pages may			
Mr. incited tortuous interference with a contract existing contract with me, and knowingly interfered w required, thus preventing my campaign from receivin actions wholly illegal and dishonest, and not the propalso be corroborated by comparing the dates and sig and Mr.	vith their ability to p ig the services pro per conduct for a ju	perform the contractual obligations I omised. This makes Mr. udicial candidate. These events can			
Additionally, Mr. engaged in electioneering througampaign's attempt to gather signatures that would he candidate and deprived the voters of an opportunity the Mr. This matter has been submitted to the and possibly referred by the department to the	nave otherwise leg to provide their sig County	itimately belonged to another			
Event 2 - Concealing Identity and creating a Conflict	of Interest During	Litigation			
On complaints were filed in office Mr. was seeking, (nomination signatures qualifying for the ballot. All conthrough his attorney The filings accusations which if proven accurate, would disqualify	mplaints against th , almost identical i	n nature, contained a multitude of			
Upon information and belief, Mr. was witnessed openly supports Mr. campaign) in the signature challenges. Never at any time was and he only provided cryptic answers to a reporter's curther implied that Mr. paid the filing costs and a lattempt for Mr. to secretly litigate, but also create attorney.	Court while s Mr. pres questions in a colu attorney's fees for I	e assisting with the filing of Mr. sent during the filing or litigation, imn in the It is Mr. Not only is this an			
Ar. conduct during his campaign has been une riolate the code of professional ethics. His conduct ar a judicial officer and must be addressed by the ethics	nd character are to	potentially caused an attorney to otally out of line with the actions of			