

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-063

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

September 15, 2021

The Complainant alleged a pro tem justice of the peace failed to follow the appropriate law in a protective order proceeding.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton, Barbara Brown and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 15, 2021.

2021-063

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

on I was the defendant
 in an order for an injunction against harassment
 with the plaintiff.

7th none of us were sworn in, or told we were
 under oath. None of us stated our name. The
 witness - I think is her name
 not sure. She never stated her name for court
 and she gave a testimony. Everything on the application
 was unfounded because he lies. The judge ~~stated~~
 stated that she could see I was irritated by him
 so she's granting the R.O.

2nd the RO order was dated the wrong date
 that the judge signed.
 The witness was in the court room for the
 entire hearing.