### State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

# Disposition of Complaint 21-100

Judges:

Complainant:

## ORDER

#### September 29, 2021

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge allowed erroneous orders to be issued in two protective order matters, was biased against him, was unqualified to be a judge, improperly denied his appeal, and "gaslighted" him. The Complainant also alleged a pro tem municipal court judge improperly upheld a protective order against him, conducted an unfair hearing, was biased against him, was unqualified to be a judge, and "gaslighted" him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and J. Tyrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 29, 2021.

Comp 21-100

-----Original Message-----From: < > Sent: To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>; < > Cc: Subject: The City of Court

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Regarding Cases: and

Dear Sir or Madam,

I believe that Magistrates and of The City of Court violated my rights through misconduct, when they approved at on for a Plaintiff, and subsequently, dismissed my

They immediately approved an when the judicial criteria was not met. There were no reports against me, there were no dates on the alleged affidavit in which fake were implied against me. lied to The City of Court. The Magistrates acted in an unfair manner. They did not change nor did they create anything positive in their actions and I believe that the Magistrates acted solely on what they had seen or heard about the dispute between myself and along with and what they were told by City of Officials. This would have an impact on their decision, which never changed, excessive " after ' contacts with City Officials and The The City of is culpable. The City is not able to conduct itself properly in the Administration of Arizona Law and needs to be addressed at a higher level. I am asking The Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct to look into

these Cases. I am asking permission to remit relating documents to the Commission. Once I have a confirmation to do so, I will mail the documents for review.

In conclusion, The City of<br/>know if Magistrates<br/>'manner onCourt acted in bad faith, and in an unfair manner. I request to<br/>met, , with Plaintiffin an "<br/>in an "<br/>could embellish his lies against me. This would constitute a<br/>dismissal, because it would violate every protocol and procedure establish by the<br/>during a (COVID-19)<br/>Pandemic and violate Arizona Judicial Law.

Sincerely,