State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-103
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

September 29, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner lacked an appropriate basis to issue a protective order.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Barbara Brown and J. Tyrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on September 29, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

<i>FOR OFFICE USE ONLY</i>	FOR	OFF	CE	USE	ONL	Y
----------------------------	-----	-----	----	-----	-----	---

21-103

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that constitution names, dates, times, and places that will help the com	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own ates judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the amission understand your concerns. Additional pages may at court documents. Please complete one side of the paper

Please see atached statement.

When a Judge signs an Order without the basis for it, it gives allegation(s) a degree of legitimacy. The plaintiff is reinforced when his / her misrepresentation is accepted by the Courts.

The outsider, (neutral party) feels something must have occurred or the Judge never would have signed the Order.

The reviewing Judge may be hesitant to disagree with the cause internal office conflicts.

Judge's decision, which could

The order issued is titled "
. I have never been employed, nor have I received any form of compensation from the petitioner, the corporation, or any of the individuals referenced in this order as "
.

On separate occasions the petitioner makes refearce to A.R.S. 12-1812 (S)(2). This section of statute does not exist. The majority of times this section was referenced, the verbiage following the section changed. This was not simply a cut / paste error. It's an indication to me, to believe the Judge never read the allegations and just signed it.

The petitioner for this order included the names other individuals as "

None of these individuals provided statements or affidavits to the Court. Nothing is even mentioned in the petition as why these individuals warranted inclusion in the order. Including these names was carefully crafted to interfere with the defendant's preparation of an appeal.

These individuals were witnesses to a possible " nothing more. The petitioner was permitted to make a broad inclusion of ", all of which could have sought protection from Law Enforcement or the Courts themselves. All of these points should have been read flags to the Judge reading the petition.

The petitioner for this order was alleging and on the part of the defendant. Yet the petition contains nothing directly associated with these types of behavior. Sworn statements / affidavits should be required by the Courts and then if facts show at a date, if and were presented to the Courts, perjury charges could be pursued.

The allegation in the petition reads: "

what does this have to do with the alleged or This order was requested on the petitioner of this order is referencing an incident that took place earlier, from What does this have to do with

? Clearly any Judge that reads this would say, this may warrant a or civil lawsuit, but it doesn't warrant a protection order. The petitioner for this order was wrote " ? 15 now a criminal offence or a basis for a Order. The petitioner should have provided an example of the alleged The petitioner of this order was permitted to include the name of another individual with an issued by another Court. This has nothing to do with the issuance of this order. The only purpose of this was to place the defendant in bad light. Throughout the petition for the order, the petitioner continually refers to defendant not being a member of the shareholder or resident or on the board? Again, what relevance does this have to the issuance of a order? The petitioner repeatedly refers to the repair of a , what does this have to do with the issuance of a Order? The petitioner includes the names of and Yet no statements or affidavits were provided from any of these individuals. Nor did the petitioner nor the Judge, feel they should have been included as " The preceding is not an appeal of the order. The order has been appealed and quashed by another Judge. The reason for this submittal is I don't feel there was ever a basis established for the issuance of the Order. may have saved a few minutes of time by not reading the petition or not addressing Judge the matters contained in the petition, if read. But her signature on the Order created a lot of

Judge may have saved a few minutes of time by not reading the petition or not addressing the matters contained in the petition, if read. But her signature on the Order created a lot of work for other Court personnel. Judge alone spent of valuable Court time, hearing testimony about this order. Individuals that knowingly waste the Courts valuable time should be held accountable.

FILED

	CLERK, COURT
COUNTY COURT	BY:
□Amended	Case No. Court ORI No. County State
PLAINTIFF EMPLOYER And on behalf of any minor family member or Protected Perso	AUTHORIZED AGENT on listed below. (List name and DOB).
V.	
Full Name Defendant/Plaintiff Relationship: Other(None. Defendant is a former to Defendant's Address: CAUTION: Weapon Alleged in Petition WARNINGS TO DEFENDANT: This order shall be enfored state, the District of Columbia, or any U.S. Territory, and in Crossing state, territorial, or tribal boundaries to violate this §2262). Because of this order, it may be unlawful for you pursuant to federal law under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(8) or squestions whether these laws make it illegal for you to position attorney. Only the court, in writing, can change this order this order is effective for one year from date of service.	may be enforced by Tribal Lands (18 U.S.C. § 2265). sorder may result in federal imprisonment (18 U.S.C. but to possess or purchase a firearm or ammunition state, tribal, territorial, or local law. If you have any ossess or purchase a firearm, you should consult an er.
THE COURT HEREBY FINDS THAT: It has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter. Defendant received actual notice of this hearing and had an THE COURT, finding reasonable evidence of workplace hara if this injunction is not granted before Defendant can be heard the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or there are reasons where the efforts to give notice to Defendant or the efforts to give notice to Defendant or the efforts to give notice to give notice to give notice to give notice to Defendant or the efforts to	issment or that great or irreparable harm would result d in opposition, and there are specific facts attesting to hy notice should not be given, Intiff Employer or other person while that person is rming official work duties, except through attorneys, Email/Fax Mail Other: Protected Person except through attorneys, legal

Case	

THE COURT FURTH	IER ORDERS:		and the second s	S. Co. of the State of the Stat
PROTECTED LO	CATIONS. Defendant shall	not go to or near Plaintiff's o	r Protected Person's:	
OTHER ORDE	RS:			
	all not come within Il not contact any employees		d Locations, and Protected Pers ctors working for or on	son(s).
Date	Judicial Officer		Printed Name	

WARNING: This is an official court order. If you disobey this order, you will be subject to arrest and prosecution for the crime of interfering with judicial proceedings and any other crime you may have committed in disobeying this order.

NOTICE: If you disagree with this injunction, you have the right to request a hearing which will be held within 10 business days after your written request has been filed in the court that issued this injunction. Violations of this injunction should be reported to a law enforcement agency, not the court.

ADDITIONAL WARNINGS TO DEFENDANT: Nothing the plaintiff does can stop, change, or undo this injunction without the court's written approval. You must appear in court to ask a judge to change (modify) or dismiss (quash) this injunction.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.