

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-106

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 6, 2021

The Complainant alleged that a commissioner violated the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 6, 2021.

Comp
2021-106

Name:

Date:

APR 22 2021

Time:

address:

Court room:

Floor

Phone:

Court

Case No:

Docket No:

City of

County of

FORMAL GRIEVANCE

Grieving: Honorable Judge

On the _____ day of _____ at or around the times of _____
= _____ Court Commenced in this criminal matter, for
a hearing to change counsel - defendant request to represent
him self pro-per.

Defendant informed Judge he understands risks of his decision
he advised Judge his decision is knowingly / voluntarily and
intellegently being made. Defendant advised Judge he graduate
high school and has college education. Defendant advised judge
he has experience in court procedure, 24/7 access to a law
library, and he is aware of courtroom ethic and will honor
it. Upon Judges inquiry defendant stated for the record
he would like to exercise his natural american 6th

Defendants grievance

admittance right to represent himself *propria persona* for that is enough of a reason as any. Defendant was respectful, articulate and straight forward. Judge contested, objected various times, declared she feels in her opinion that it is unwise and she suggest I do not do this. Judge suggested I work with attorney in a number of ways various times. Defendant stated times it is his right and he is enacting it (respectfully). Defendant declared a lack of communication between him and his attorney. Defendant declared a Judge cannot suggest how he should defend himself, a Judge shall not take a personal interest in a legal matter. Despite defendants Constitutional rights the judge continuously protested defendants choice to enact his right. Judge asked the defendant if he has ever been found incompetent he replied no - never. Defendants attorney replied he has never been found incompetent and has not been reviewed under chapter 11 in this state. Prosecutor declared he is uncertain of the authenticity of the prosecutors evidence he has but he said he is looking at the defendants history / record and he said it appears defendant has been deemed in another state in the past. Defendant objected, defendant declared that is not true, defendant requested documents alleging this for production to the court for the findings.

Defendants grievance

Fact. Prosecutor was unable to produce the documents. Defendant declared because of the prosecutors inability to produce records, when prosecutor had advanced notice of hearing the Court cannot determine as a fact that the prosecutors uncertain statements hold merit (are true). Judge said she does not believe the prosecutor would lie. Judge ordered a evaluation despite the defendants due process rights and Constitutional rights. Judge did this unlawfully. When defendant objected to the order of the Judge, and declared he has never had a question of competency in state and he has never been deemed incompetent the Judge ignored him. (See audio record of court minutes) The defendant noticed court clerks not typing and one of them on their cell phone at a point in the hearing. He requested if everything he said was on the record because of this the Judge said everything is audio recorded. Defendant requested a copy of his filings from the court clerk. Judge denied defendants right. Defendant requested if his filing had been stamped with court seal. Judge said the court clerk does not stamp any filings with the court seal. The Judge has lied times.

Defendants greivence

I, _____ do hereby declare
under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the
State of arizona under the laws of the United States
of america that the foregoing is true and correct
to the best of my abilities

Signature: _____

Date: _____

time: _____

Signed in _____

Arizona County of _____

please hold this Judge's corruption account-
able to help out the next man or women so
that our countrymen may recieve the impartiality
they deserve and so that they may exercise
their natural American God given rights.

Thank you and God Bless.