

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-128

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 22, 2021

The Complainant alleged racial basis, bad demeanor, and illegal rulings by a superior court judge.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 22, 2021.

2021-128

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

To whom it may concern;

This is pertaining to my husband's order of protection hearing for _____ The _____ of his telephonic hearing a county clerk's official made the comment that '_____. The same afternoon during his hearing at _____ this "_____" was offended by my husband's comment that there was no evidence besides her, _____ word against his. This elected official, obviously offended by the comment said "_____. _____ also reiterated over and over that the order does not include my husband's minor child and that he is able to contact _____ regarding the child. But, the sheriff's officer, another public servant, that delivered the paperwork advised AGAINST IT because _____ can say he got off topic not regarding the child and my husband goes to jail, how is that fair or justice in anyway? Why didn't this pathetic excuse for an elected official ask any relevant questions or think about what scenarios could play out or how difficult this makes it for a man to be able to see his child? _____ has _____ and _____ posted on social media about my husband, about me, our marriage and this '_____' gave her all the ammunition she needs to continue to hurt my family. Where are our rights? The last FaceTime my husband had with her, she made a comment about his wedding ring and then hung up and continued to text him about it. Does that sound like someone who needs protection? An order of protection granted based on lies?

The reason I find this appalling is because less than _____ ago Judge _____ found _____ evidence to be insufficient in the injunction against harassment she took out against me. _____ copied and pasted exactly what was in the order against me, for the order against my husband. Does _____ have an issue against _____ men? Is that why he ruled against my husband and I, as a _____ woman, had mine dismissed? Or is there some other bias within the court system in _____ county?

Whatever the case may be, I am making it my mission to call out this obvious bias against _____ that _____ has. I will protest his reelection campaign and be sure that the constituents are aware of what judge _____ is capable of. And I hope you all do the same.