

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-132

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

January 25, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge did not properly disqualify herself due to a conflict of interest.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 25, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-132

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ **Judge's Name:** _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

It has been reported that _____ appointed the new Judge _____ Judge _____ is
of _____ This seems very bias and a conflict of interest
to the parties in the case

Judge _____ and Judge _____ should be recused. In this situation an independent Judge
must pick a judge to oversee this case

So basically the _____ county who did not want _____ to go forward is incharge of picking the judge
to preside over the case. You cannot think that this is ok?