

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-133

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

October 18, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to disqualify himself due to a conflict of interest.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 18, 2021.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**21-133**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

It has been reported that \_\_\_\_\_ appointed the new Judge \_\_\_\_\_ Judge \_\_\_\_\_ is the \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ court \_\_\_\_\_ of Supervisors \_\_\_\_\_ This seems very bias and a conflict of interest to the parties in the case

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ and Judge \_\_\_\_\_ should be recused. In this situation an independent Judge must pick a judge to oversee this case

So basically the \_\_\_\_\_ county who did not want the \_\_\_\_\_ to go forward is incharge of picking the judge to preside over the case. You cannot think that this is ok?