

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-149

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

October 6, 2021

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Joseph C. Kreamer and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 6, 2021.

RE: To the Judicial Commission on
Judicial conduct.

Constitutional Laws:

Under

ARS Const. Article 6.2 Section 5

ARS Const. Article 6.1 Section 4

I, _____, Hereby
Bring this matter of Judicial
conduct before the Judicial
Commission of the _____ Court
of the state of Arizona.

1 of 9 Plus COVER SHEET

Under ARS Const. Art. 6.1 Section 4
(A) ----- ~~to~~ For action by him that constitutes
wilful misconduct in office, wilful
and persistent failure to perform
his duties, habitual intemperance or
conduct prejudicial to the
Administration of Justice that
brings the Judicial office into
disrepute

Honorable Judge
has made Ruling in his
Courtroom in Criminal Case No.

First, on Judge
ruled on AN Motion Request
for AN Speedy trial.
Second, ON Judge
ruled on A motion

For Programming under Rule 11
Arizona Rules to criminal Procedure.

third, on Honorable
Judge Ruled on
An hearing to REMOVE the Defendant
From Program Due to
the same in motions to
Honorable Judge AND times AFTER
IN

Fourth, ON , Honorable
Judge refused the
Defendant to enter evidence to
the court on the Defendants
BEHALF. After Assigning Pro-Per
status to the Defendant, then
taking Away Pro-per status on

Fifth, ON , Honorable
Judge ordered
of excluded time from

An speedy trial of
By Rule of ARS Const. Art 6
Section 21.

Honorable Judge order
Said motion to be filed by
on . WAS NOT filed
until
therefore the motion was
untimely filed to the court.

Sixth, the illegal Ruling on
of Excluded time violates Rule 8
Ariz. R. Crim. P. to my speedy trial
Request on
↓ with of time already
counted for trial.

under ARS Const Art. 6 SECTION
21- MR. of the Attorney
for the Prosecution at Indictment
of with the Grand Jury submitted
the matter to a Judge of the

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**