State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-150
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

November 17, 2021

The Complainant alleged that a justice of the peace violated the law and displayed improper demeanor.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 17, 2021.

FROM:

This is at the advice of Council after speaking with the Arizona

The defendant filed a motion to reconsider on up for the Hearing

If judge presides over this subsequent Hearing like he did over the first, I will not get a fair hearing. The reasons are twofold:

- 1. Within of my hearing on , Judge accused of me of being rude to his staff without firsthand knowledge of what transpired. He neither had the professional courtesy to ask what transpired or the awareness to know to ask instead of accusing me and coming into the hearing with preconceived opinions. This accusation came in the middle of me trying to present my case in which he shut me down and bullied me into silence. After of sitting on the bench myself has a I know what a hearing is supposed to look like, and it does not look like this. I am here for justice, not to tiptoe around a judge who has already made up his mind. A business ruined my car to the tune of \$ In my world when someone ruins your stuff, they pay for it. Judge came into the hearing with his mind already made up and sidelined my entire hearing. He even had the audacity to reference a (an unvetted source anyone can write) in support of the defendant. This lack in judgement cannot be allowed. Furthermore, he also likened my sunroof seal to my head gasket seal in my engine and my cars' alignment. Your car can go out of alignment after one pothole, and my husband is a ..and there's no real leak... just has to put it on there because when you touch it you can feel oil. That's part of the inspection process. For a car old, my car is in pristine condition. These excuses for giving me a fraction of what I'm asking for including depreciation is not only unjust, there's no support to justify his logic.
- 2. Second reason the Judge should recuse himself, upon his extremely unfair judgement, he ended the Hearing by stating, the defendant has the right to motion for reconsideration and reopen the hearing. If this happens, you may get nothing. Flat out threatening me.

In defense of my first point.

I was out for a visit, and this happened during that time. Consequently, I have to go online to see how to proceed with this arduous process of filing a lawsuit against someone. When I saw online where to file, everything pointed to

so I filed there. Got a dismissal, then found out I had to file at

After filing at it took

Amaged my car to the tune of \$
They won't pay my parents live in my parents live in my parents live in see how to proceed with this arduous process of filing a lawsuit against someone. When I saw online where to file, everything pointed to

so I filed there. Got a dismissal, then found out I had to get a court date due to the incompetence of

the Court staff. The website isn't very helpful nor current, thus I had to call and get info. Depending on who in the office you talk to, the answer is always different. Two examples: 1) one person said filing fee was \$ then I got my entire filing returned and someone else said it was \$ 2). A second time I had it returned, I listed both on the filing to ensure my basis were covered. Both have the same address. Packet was returned again and said I needed to pay for both mailings, to which I replied and pointed out — they were the same address and insisted they get it filed...which they didfinally. This timeline doesn't include them not sending me a complete packet the first time and that I had to wait for a complete packet.

Fast-forward to when I got a notice of Hearing which gave me a date and time, not a call-in number as I requested. I requested a telephonic hearing from as I live in my paperwork supports this. When I got this notice of Hearing, I called the justice center and the lady that answered the phone said, "Oh yeah, that's no big deal. Just call the morning of the hearing and we'll patch you through". I am used to a very large courthouse with lines and each being a dedicated line during the allotted times, but I know this is a small town and might be different, so I said ok. Fast-forward to the morning of the hearing: I called in early to make sure that what they lady told me was correct. When apparently another member of staff answered, she said "

' to which I replied: "

'. She never did, so I called back at She said no word from the judge. I called back at she said he'd call me at He called me at and the hearing commenced. he told me I was rude to his staff and shut me down, bullying me into silence. I am here to fight for justice from a business that ruined my property — but instead I'm also fighting the court. This isn't how any of this should be.

Based on the above, I request my case be reassigned to a judge in a different county that has the ability to conduct a fair hearing. The owner's address and are in so we should be able to move it there.

Sincerely -

Cc:

The Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, AZ 85007

COURT

County of

, State of Arizona

HON.

COURT SUPERVISOR

you checked No COURT DOCKET # RE: Dear we are returning it to you for the following reason(s) indicated The Court has received your_ as checked below: Must list an "individual person" as the Plaintiff and Defendant. (1) Actually - the You may also include the name of a business, if desired. Same name, Complete "Plaintiff's Claim" section with date and signature. Same address. I'm just covering all basis Submit payment of \$_____ with Complaint Form. Submit payment of \$____ with original Answer Form. of this. SUMORS The amount you have filed exceeds the limit of \$____. Completed section(s) are illegible, please resubmit à new form (enclosed). different names on the Small Claims Complaint/Summons You have xOther: per defendant to mail by certified addresses. The fee for the Court is \$ mail. If you enclose your phone number any other questions or problems the Court can all you. The for filing fees and certified The fee will be \$ or \$ court is returning your mail to each defendant. You original Small Claim Summons/Complaint, letter, seladdressed

Sincerely,

Court

envelope, exhibits, case with

De l'eve, toid

me 1 .. but it you need \$

nere's the eater \$ NOT reason

enough to send this backell

P.S. These two reasons were not worth

sending this back again and westing

even more time on this. Please get i

Court and receipt are also enclosed.