State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-158
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

October 22, 2021

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge intercepted mail to his former attorney.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 22, 2021.

No. 1	Re: Complaint, Judge	Division .	County
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Anna and the second of the sec	
un en	Executive Director:		The state of the s
	On I submitted a	personal letter to my	Attorney
	, formally of the	County Public Defend	der's Office.
	Represented me as	attorney of record in	Commence of the control of the contr
	In of	of the cou	nty Public Defender
	Office, disclosed to me and r	ny family newly discovered	d, never seen before
	exculpatory evidence that was in	7 7	
	Since . Ir	was	the current Public
	Defender.	and the second s	and the second s
and declared to take	The letter I sent to	on (who is	Judge now)
	was of a personal nature betw	·	~ /
		~	

Judge and her clerk intercepted this letter, and evidence exhibits that I sent, had them copied, keeping the originals, and returning to myself the copies with a Court ORDER stating;

Due to a sham trial I was given in , I have been diligently working to expose a wrongfully conviction in Judge
Court. Since then she has done everything she can to interfere in my post—
conviction appeals, interfere in my investigations of fraud and conspiracy
in County and has gone as far as outright lying to the Courts.

This latest stunt of hers by intercepting my personal correspondence
to my former attorney is a gross abuse of power by a Judge.

Judge had no Right to open my mail or intervene in a personal correspondence between me and my former attorney. She had no authority
to take my letter into her court and make a RULING/ORDER, telling
me there will be no further action corresponding with my attorney or investigating
these actions I speak of.

from this office informed me in

that Judge had never been disciplined for Judicial misconduct.

I find that hard to believe.

Has there been complaints filed and then dismissed?

Has she been disciplined in private?

I am requesting an investigation and a complaint, to include

sanctions be lodged against Judge for Ethics Violation's

for hindering my Federal Constitutional Right to investigate misconduct

by county government officials in my case, and her interference

in a pro-per litigants correspondence with my former attorney.

I am including in my complaint a copy of Judge Order

motion and a copy of the letter I mailed directly to

minus the exhibits. I will be pursing this up the chain of government

agencies and will be requesting that the courts imediatly remove

and prevent Judge from any further involvement in my case.

Sincerely

•				
	A SEPTIMENT CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO			
_				
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.				
	THE AND THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE P			
	Re: Evidence. Case No.			
	you represented me on an appeal after a sham Trial I was			
	given in . During that time you were Public Defender of			
	County.			
	In of Attorney delivered to your office an			
	₹			
	page letter from her client . In the letter on pages			
	whereas describes a conversation he had with			
	I've enclosed the pages of the letter plus copy of an affidavit from			
4.0				

	1 6			

COURT, STATE OF ARIZONA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

STATE OF ARIZONA,	Case No.	DATE:
Plaintiff, vs.	ORDER RE: DEFENDANT'S CORRESONDENCE AND ENCLOSURES	O'Clock CLERK
Defendant.		BY: Deputy
HONORABLE	BY:	
DIVISION	DATE:	•
The Court has received from the Defendant dated IT IS ORDERED taking IT IS SO ORDERED th	no further action.	ondence with numerous enclosures
	HON. Judge of th	ie Court
cc: * Assigned Coun * Defendant	ty Attorney, County Attorne	ey's Office, Counsel for State (4)