## State of Arizona

## COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-159
Judge:	
Complainant:	

## **ORDER**

October 22, 2021

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge exhibited bias and violated the law when he did not transfer a change of judge request to the presiding judge.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on October 22, 2021.

Comp 2021-159

To:	The Commission on Judicial Conduct
_ `	Honorable ( County Court)
And the second s	
	County Court - Local Rules
	Rule AD-6 Change of Judge
aalaalaan ka ka ka maalaa ka doo k	Any "Notice of Change of Judge" shall be filed
	with the Clerk of the Court and copies served
	on all parties, the Court Administrator, the Pre-
	siding Judge, and the Presiding Judge of the
	Division of the Court (e.g., Civil, Criminal, Juvenile).
	Upon timely request for a change of Judge,
	the case shall be transferred to the pre-
egy-gygyppianonostaura-manyagayayay-manyayaytay-injahajahahatatajahalatat	Siding Judge or designee for reassignment if appropriete
gyad jaryinga (Syngoriyan) (nejnaminin mamangan akada akada akada akada akida akida akida akida akida akida ak	- effective
والمراور وال	Of the <u>Counties</u> <u>Comprising Anzona</u> , <u>only</u>
and an artist of the second	others provide for a local rule addressing a
والمنافقة والمنا	change of judge: (Rule 2.7) and
	(Rule 1.9)
والمرابع المرابع المرا	Around I filed for a Change of
$_{3,2,2,3}$ and which we describe the described the second of the seco	Judge for Cause, pursuant to 10.1. Judge
en en jorgin (i julijar ja julijar kanta en la ser ser senggannya kanta pasanasa kanta ser se	improperly asserted "and refused my law-
aal kulan e soodoot wa soonarolookokko kok ol jakkooba alko jakkooba sakeela sakeela sakeela sakeela sakeela s	ful motion - without first transferring it to
gyan beneria da da santa da s	the Presiding Judge.
والمنافقة والمنا	Judge has a proven track
$= \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}_i \in \mathcal{G}_i \in \mathcal{G}_i \in \mathcal{G}_i \in \mathcal{G}_i \cap \mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{G}_i \cap \mathcal$	record of extreme bias towards me (based on my
ggggggaannin mannin meille er och menlik kildina sinna Milliandi sterlik ei dielektrik sterlik er s	Gender Identity) He has employed numerous pro-
000-004-01 inches and the enterview is the control of the control	cedures which are both unauthorized and

A CHARLES