State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly reassigned an order of protection matter and did not allow him to respond to a motion.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The Commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding the judge of his obligations under Rule 2.6(A) of the Code. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission members Roger D. Barton and Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: November 12, 2021

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 12, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-164

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name
words what you believe the judge did that constitu names, dates, times, and places that will help the con	e same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own utes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the unission understand your concerns. Additional pages may at court documents. Please complete one side of the paper
See attached formal complaints with Evhibits & through D	

Complaint against Judge

County

matter

On

the complainant,

was present in the

Court of Judge

in a Review Hearing in the matter

(Court minutes, exhibit A). Judge

has been the Judge of record in

the matter

since

There have been various matters

before this Judge to include an Order of Protection on

under

an Order of Protection under

in]

and an Order of Protection under

on

Judge

was the Judge of Record in a separate matter (Termination

of Parental Rights

currently under advisement

) in

On

and her attorney

again petitioned

the

Court for another Protective Order (Exhibit B,

Petition for Order of Protection0. Unknown to

at the time,

and

petitioned the Court after hours for an exparte

protective order. Judge

heard the exparte petition for the

protective order and granted the request (Transcript of hearing,

Exhibit C). On

and again on 1

sent

emails to attorney

requesting that he provide

with notice of

any exparte notice for a protective order so that he would have notice and be able

to defend himself against more false allegations (Exhibit D, emails to attorney

). lisregarded these requests.

On

was served with the protective order in

immediately submitted a motion to quash the order (Motion

to Quash Protective Order, Exhibit E).

On

Judge

ordered that Judge

be assigned to hear

the exparte protective order issued on

ılthough Judge

had issued the order and Judge

had been the Judge of records in all

matters regarding

and]

(Order of

Assignment, Exhibit F).

orders for recusal were issued on

by Judges

and Judge

was the most recent prosecutor in a

criminal case involving

before she was appointed as a Judge (Judge

Order). Judge

had recently (

prior) heard

testimony in a review hearing involving

Judge

stated

in her Order

after the protective order was issued that she "

" recused

herself on

and had not reviewed the petition prior to her actions

(Exhibit H,

Order).

On

requested subpoenas to appear at a

hearing for

who are named in the protective order

dated

(Exhibit I, Subpoenas).

and her attorney

motion to quash the subpoenas on

(Motion to Quash

subpoenas, Exhibit J).

On

without proper notice to

by

and her

attorney, Judge

signed an order to quash the subpoenas (Exhibit K, Order

Quashing). The action by Judge

was not made known to Dr.

until

when he inquired who had signed the Order dated on

(Exhibit L, email to Judge assistant). The order signed by Judge contained no identifying information as to which Judge had signed it.

noted that the signature was different from Judge when he received a copy on was not made aware until the following that 1. a proper notice to quash was filed, 2. that a Judge had signed an Order, and 3. that the Order was already filed. In fact, the Court Clerk was not aware that an Order had been signed and/or filed.

received a proper notice from the attorney

(Exhibit M, Notice to
Court). filed a response to the order to quash the subpoenas before
anyone in the clerk's office was aware that Judge had already signed an
order (Exhibit N, Response to Motion to Quash).

submitted a Motion for reconsideration of the order signed by Judge

(Exhibit O, Motion for Reconsideration). Judge signed an order denying the motion for reconsideration on (Order denying Motion for Reconsideration, Exhibit P).

There have been udges since of protection. These include Judge

involved in this exparte order who issued the Order, Judge

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.