

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-169

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The Commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding him of his obligations under Rule 2.5(A) of the Code to ensure timely rulings. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission member Barbara Brown did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: March 21, 2022

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez  
Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez  
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 21, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Comp

2021-169

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Peace Officer committed the unlawful act of Section 242 of title 18 Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law (A Federal offense) and/or knowingly and intelligently obstructed justice by unduly impeding the proper legal course of action in the Defendants appeal process for Notice of Right to Appeal (Criminal) Stage two, legal document-ation in the Court. Officer originally gave legal notice under oath to order a new trial due to the <sup>willfully</sup> ~~troit~~ recordings for case # allegedly not being clear enough to understand.

The States witness is former <sup>who is</sup> ~~currently facing~~ criminal Prosecution for <sup>against</sup> trail recordings prove competent evidence that the states witness committed the unlawful act of perjury against Defendant and/or Peace Officer. Committed the unlawful act of Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law, and encouraged deceit from <sup>Under Due Process of Law I'am protected</sup> against fundamentally unfair governmental conduct, all evidence Proving the violation(s) of my legal rights and/or criminal acts committed against me will be addressed with the <sup>for criminal review and/or criminal prosecution.</sup>

State of Arizona County of \_\_\_\_\_

On this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ before me personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_ whose identity was proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person whose name is subscribed to this document, and who acknowledged that he/she signed the above/attached document.

Notary Public

X  
X

