# State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

# Disposition of Complaint 21-178

Judge:

Complainant:

# ORDER

# November 17, 2021

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated the law and discriminated against him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 17, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-178

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

#### Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

MY COMPLAINT CONSISTS OF THE FOLLOWING FACTS!

HAD NO JURISDICTION TO STATEWES 116 DANE TUDGE, NONORBLE AVE TO VIOLATIONS OF THE ARILONA CONSTITUTION.

2) I AN CLANNING DISCRIPTINATION IN SONTOWING COMPARED TO WHAT OTHERS RECEIVED WITH THE SAILS CHARLODS,

) I NOVER RECEIVED ME MY DISCOUSEY I.C. WDICTHERT, VIDEOS, AUDIO OTC.

IN \*1 MOOVE, POR THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION, WIS COURT PROCEEDING WAS CLEARCY IN K SETTING AND NOT . THIS IS IN VIOLATION OF ITY RIGHTS AND THE COURT AS WELL AS ATTORNEY'S INVOLUED HAVE CONTITED FRAND.

IN "2 NOOVE, 15 BETWE OF THE" , FEEL THAT IT SENTENCINE REWEE WAS MILLION THEY OTTER WITH STITLER . OTHER WERE RECEIVING CHARGES. DROPPED TO " AND GIVEN AT RAWLE OF . YOU GAVE ITE "CAT, THIS IS VORY DISCRIMINATORY.

IN # 3 ABOUT, I ASKOD. TIMES TO RECEIVE ALL OF MY DISCOURT AND WAS DENIED, THE ROBE ORDERED THIS FOR ME.