State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-183				
Judges:				
Complainant:				

ORDER

November 24, 2021

The Complainant alleged that one superior court judge incorrectly ruled regarding his own recusal, and another superior court judge incorrectly ruled regarding the assessment of fines and fees.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 24, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-183

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:	Judge's Name:				
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all a names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional page be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	of the				
In I filed for a in for a I spent in for and was determent only am I innocent, I had no knowledge of the crime that was committed until and lat	nined ter.				
After filing, I'm advised by the eligible for filing for this Board I need to resolve all open fines owed over \$ to be and they provide me with a list of open fines, County being one	€.				
I receive a Court Order from County Court Judge stating owe County \$\\$ in Court Fines from a case dated back in , whereas Judge then Prosecutor charged me with counts of based off of one actual arrest that time the sentencing record by Judge reflected I owed a total of \$\\$ in fines and not the \$\\$ Judge Court Order demands.	tat				
Order of I filed a pro se motion to amend the fines and surcharges to Judge Cou	ırt				
Judge conveniently recuses himself from all proceedings stating conflict of Interest and reassigns the case to Judge also County.	f				
after receiving a copy of the Original Records from Judge Court dated , reflecting the correct amount owed on this case to equal \$ instead of \$ I file a pro se motion to Judge for correcting the record as well and attach a copy of the original sentencing document and highlight the error in calculation by Judge and send him notion to this error accordingly.	e				
I recieve an Order Denying Motion to Amend by Judge					
Order of Judge I file a pro se Motion to Reconsider Correcting the Record from the	ourt				
, I receive an Order Denying Motion to Reconsider Correcting the Record from Judg	e				
I write a letter to Judge and accuse him of being prejudicial and corrupt.					
"When a Judge acts intentionally and knowingly to deprive a person of his Constitutional Rights, he ac no longer as a Judge, but as a Minister of His Own Prejudices." [386 U.S. 547, 568]	ts				

PAGNE

Defendant, Surcharges on Case# Motions This Honorable Court to Amend, Reduce or Forgive for the following reasons:

- Defendant is not only but also and since
 Defendant avows that trying to meet the extra obligations attached to this
 infraction of the law would place a severe financial hardship on the Defendant's now
 almost normal life. (Please see Exhibit marked "A") Letter to the Court Clerk
- Standard Operation procedures upon final release from

 document revealing any outstanding fines still pending. However, nowhere in this document was Case# from County mentioned, nor do I remember having received any notice regarding same until recently, some
 (Please see Exhibit marked "B") Copy document given to Defendant.
- Defendant thinks that perhaps the confusion was created for both parties due to the over- abundance of hearings leading up to the Defendant's final from in (Please see Exhibit marked "C") Search in Court Files for Sentencing Hearing, n/a.
- 4. Additionally, Defendant has a recently filed pending before the in to him from a in that State for he not only didn't commit, but had no knowledge about prior to his arrest. Defendant has spent the of his life living under this ugly label trying to prove this Truth to both his own family and the victim's. (Please see Exhibits marked "D1 and D2")
- 5. However, Defendant has also recently learned from the office that these monies owed will render Defendant ineligible for consideration. This is an unfair burden to be placed at this late stage of life, especially where Defendant's and remains in question to resolve this debt to Society in time. Then, still leaving Defendant time enough to be absolved of another debt to Society served unjustly due to a defect in the Legal System itself. (Please see Exhibits "E1 and E2") Recent Reports
- For the reasons set forth and others not mentioned, but easily within this Honorable Court's understandings, Defendant is humbly asking for an amendment, reduction or forgiving of the debt owed to Society for the convictions on Case #

Page

Eil ED

CLERK.

COURT

RY

ARIZONA

COURT COUNTY

Date:	HIDOT			
Division	JUDGE	Judicial Assistant		
STATE OF A	RIZONA,			
V.	Plaintiff,			
	Defendant.			

ORDER DENYING MOTION

The Court has received and reviewed Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Correcting the Record of this Court's Order of Restitution dated and rules as follows:

The Court's Sentencing Minute Entry of as referenced by
Defendant's Motion, clearly states that a fine and applicable surcharge, totaling \$ was ordered in each of the for which Defendant was sentenced. \$ is a correct amount and, therefore, Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Correcting the Record of this Court's Order of Restitution dated is denied.

NOTE THAT WOULD MEAN THE FINES WOULD HAVE BEEN RUN BUT THEY ARE TO RUN"

OFFICE DISTRIBUTION:

cc:

fage three

No. Date: Page No. 4 STATE OF ARIZONA vs. COUNT OFFENSE: with prior within FELONY CLASS: IN VIOLATION OF: DATE OF OFFENSE: SENTENCE: with credit for presentence time served. This is a nonrepetitive, nondangerous offense. prior as follows: 1. in County in State of Arizona on 2. County in State of Arizona on in IT IS ORDERED that on Counts through each sentence is one to the other but to any sentence being served. COMMUNITY SUPERVISION: IT IS ORDERED that after Defendant is from he will be subject to for as set forth in MONETARY ASSESSMENTS: FINE:IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant shall pay a fine on of the counts in the amount of plus surcharge in the amount of \$ \$ for a total fine of \$ on each count, which are one to the other, payable to the Clerk of the Court of County in installments of at least \$ commencing on of the after from until paid in full. IT IS ORDERED that pursuant to Defendant shall pay to the Clerk of the Court of County only Time Payment Fee in the amount of \$ FURTHER ORDERED the County and/or the Arizona Department is directed to notify the Clerk of the Court of County of the Defendant's from secured and of the Defendant's at time of from secured and further, should the Defendant he is directed to notify the Clerk of the Court, within of the Defendant's change of ()

The Honorable Judge, County Court fage

	RE:	/ Case #			
Dear Judge					
Referencing your latest denial of m know that your reliability for der by then Judge	nial of my moti	on is Contrary t	o the <u>Origir</u>	n nal Sentencin	please g Order in
Judge also knows this mista who was prejudiced enough to personal letter was also written to about, but he chose to ignore it and	me witi Judge w	h counts of here I pointed ou	based from this n		A error came
Something is <u>terribly amiss</u> when Law! I filed different pro se		urt Judges lack ti nighlighted this r			
Judges to see that clearly states	·	of	and the	fines of \$	on each
count were to run			bout that to	understand a	ind why do
you, Judge of c				Ord	er on your
Order of Denial – obviously it's just	to justify your e	rroneous calculat	ions to begir	n with.	
I'm sure that the people in your conspire to deny a defendant his legwould be from a more nefarious or	gal rights, or tha	t the refusal to ac	knowledge s	ort Judges wor such an sight.	
Law abiding citizens depend heavily Rights Under the Law as Guaranteed	on the Integrity by the United S	of our Judges to States Constitutio	ensure that n exists for t	Protection for them.	r Equal
But, that is clearly not the case here	, and both of yo	u should be sham	efully disbar	rred because	of it.
Thanks for taking the time to read m			•		

Cc:

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.