State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-184
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

November 24, 2021

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 24, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Name:

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Waskington Street, Suite 229-Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-184

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name:

what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	
Cance now the Plantiff, the defendant in	
Leusage of unprecedent judicial Authorative Abus of some This	
judicial Administration of Abuse has decrement the federal and	
Procedures and has violated Fedres and state statutory laws. This	
judicial administration has conspiced with the	
Chime that gove pariet by wer cope of one Constitution	
And fecteral, next state laws Here's a list of the differente	
Der service to proved in the Court of land.	
(1). False declaration before a grand july 8 uses 1623 (2). Denied my	
Which seem appropriate due to inellective Alberrais that were	
year appointed (3) Tampraing with Physical evidence of the DIVA	
Octobing Just thone ralls, missing body cam Dubbing over FTR (For the arred)	
15/2(4) Fraydulently Fabriculing Court transcripts and minute entrus to	
Derrying my position as Droper to depose the winners At a deposition	
that it would be an inconvenence to the to transport	

me to the must house or the juil which is not in meordance to Superior Cheyle 4.4 nor, Rules of vein proc Rule 15.3 (E) (6). Unconstitutionally Remove meillegally from defending myself where moving the Covers to take endicial replice to Duplichous Indichment which is A prefint motion to litigate to informed the couche the falsify of evidence And indictment Counts is duplicious An it must be existed in protrial or it is warved. (7) A Matter of Record is purposely being aftered and can be distinctively described (8). Not simplifuneously disclosing the DNA lest results 18 45CH 3 3600(9) Allowing the State to intimidate the allege victim my wife to be at the settlement continence for a devices plat to impute some Kind of quitt to sign of New And having Alberry, to say to me without greeting me Judge and Prosery be Knew not that I had a conversation with my wife wa Jail Phone call prior to conference and she stated to Me caying and senged that they are motion me Lestify against my has board. (0) Withholding exulpatory evidence before a plea agreement this was a vindictive Act And illegal by the state prosecutor. discoved upon the motion to compet for the DAVA on range ted in Afet Judge Duestion Asked when were the DNA completed, please be advise nowisory rounsel. was present and I wrote several motions and

A major disclosure violation diliberately when I more for sanctions. The list is exhunsting and the impropriety, prestistily of Acts dishones - untile, untauty, unethick) and criminal within the judiciney of our roughs that governs our Society is Lightning to conseive. May the Commission be advise the evidence of activition of mistensance is apparent and clear to perceive the crime of subsenstion of prejury that has the appearance of impropriety and the sperousness with basis of facts that and knowingly violated and unlaw Lully Lied to concert the frush. The beginning of . ' Advisory Course I now repointed Alberry Aller I was unconstitutionally removed as proper came by Frial Judge which was grewous considering the reininality of the matter one thing led to another leading to viewing intermaxion of the SNA Report which I viewed breitly and I information of the DNA examination report in a email source page was a last minute cover-up of Brady us Macyand 5 ct disclosure violation to the exculpatory results. Please note: ARound the end of, come with plans of prison eight account the line that SNA was being completed with no investigation

AL All by public defender. I motion to dismiss Counsel I did mention the DNA which he deried to even Regretate please be advise the chain of surady Report show the evidence of the DNA was refuenced, the exact ed as course of perocal. Hencesny has it that she has sabatoge cases, and I run continued hor job is my affecting was to do just that With no investigation nor face to face discussion about my case what so ever other than A video visit with her filming here understace structure As it Im to be hered into confidence of physical offeredow, I was not moved and ix was unprotessing, Next thing I knew going to a selfement conference There I mention the DAM And not one Official said anything it was loud and close a cover reporter was present pursuant to helas of l'ein Proc 5,2 the court must Record the proceeding in that mannel. I requested for those Franscripts the court said it dose not reflect that the settlement was transcribe but laker viewing the FTR that the investigator smother co-ronspirator that has been anught in several has brought over to the jail the Loofage of the FTR Audio-video Recording was dubbed over sweep people including my wife withess me Asking About He DNIA the lovers willyly illegally tampered with the FTL to covery information concerning the DNA Affect the seffement confinence sonds over her investigation instead of investigating my

CAUSE his motive, was of trying to assect the negative outcome of a trial that has hot arrived which I found why odd and suspicious. After, ineffective of propresentation and here engenness for me to signed which I said to here at the Conference I need a belfer lawyer and jucke Fish said to me"

innocence and whose is stood. Now often the conference I antied
in a breit conversation with her as she kept
Shating that I'm changes ous which is a completely different
persona she first fet on when I first talk to her by shome.
Then she stated to me in a very househ lone",

Inal why would she say

that to me? I motion for her to be withdrawn

And what pro-per Affect studying the police reports and

Some exam reports and the server warpant for my

Not that with extracted the charges were

outrageously inwarrented. Also DNA was taken from the

affect viction my with the police which my

with said the injuries to her neck was cause by my pails

due into her the incletment saids the injuries are consistent

with a thrife foliciously stated. Point in being I never

strangle my wife and I never put a thirt to her need the

prosecutorial misconduct is irrevocably broad an family

when the expressed.

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.