State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-205

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The Commission approved sending the judge an advisory letter reminding the judicial officer of their obligations under Rules 1.1, 1.2, and 2.5(A) of the Code of Judicial Conduct. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Commission member Roger D. Barton did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: January 26, 2022

FOR THE COMMISSION

<u>/s/ Louis Frank Dominguez</u> Hon. Louis Frank Dominguez Commission Chair

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 26, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-205

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Judge's Name: Name: Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records. Judge violated UCCJEA guidelines and denied due process. Judge never contacted Lawver () nor did T Ex-wife's attorney know of any date and time for the meeting between the judges to determine jurisdiction. reached out numerous times to get a conference date and time, but never replied back to them via email nor phone. Finally after weeks of trying to get a date, Client and Lawyers found out that the conference took place a week or two back. This was news to the Plaintiff and Defendants lawyers. An order of reconsideration was filed and immediately denied by A Motion to appeal was then promptly

Arizona had continuing jurisdiction and valid reasons for retaining jurisdiction but due to the lack of integrity, negligence and accountability of the judge, jurisdiction was given away to the other state. even tried to cover his actions by requesting documentation of proof, just so he could make it look like he covered his bases when in fact he kept no one in the loop. sheer disregard for the policies and procedures has cost client over n legal feels.

filed after, it too was denied by the appeals court for not being done within an allotted window. Keep in

mind this is a window that no one was aware of but the judge himself.

If it were possible to sue for wasted legal fees Client would have done it. Unfortunately bad judges get away with this and are immune to civil suits. I am hoping that the AZCourts will investigate and provide a corrective action rather than excuses. This Judge SHOULD NOT BE A JUDGE, he cares not only his own paycheck.

2