# State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-232
Judge:	
Complainant:	

#### **ORDER**

#### March 15, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly submitted an affidavit without a summons ordering him to do so, had ex parte communications, and retaliated against her for filing a judicial conduct complaint.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Barbara Brown, and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 15, 2022.

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

#### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-232

# COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your ow words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages make attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.
Despite Rule 3.3 - Acting as a Character Witness - Judge filed an affidavit (written testimony) claiming to know my character, without a summons being issued to him. As the comment to that rule notes, and as Rule 1.3 prohibits, he abused the prestige of his judicial office by filing an affidavit on claiming that I should lose my license because of the way in which he took my son from my custody, almost ago, and my reaction to the perpetual trauma I suffered throughout those proceedings. Judge has never seen me in court as a lawyer and is the only known witness against me in their current proceedings to place me on inactive disability status. Exhibit 1. I have many witnesses ready to testify who actually have seen my professional work; he is the ONLY one who has not and I sincerely think the would not have taken him seriously had he not written on letterhead and then filed an affidavit clearly identifying himself as a judge. The is proceeding against me now because made sure to identify himself as a Judge. His reference in his paragraph 4 is to a pleading from Yet, he did not file his affidavit until
Judge recused himself from my case (Exhibit 2), after he filed a complaint against me on . Exhibit 3. It is notable that on the first page of his complaint, he admits that he learned that my ex husband filed - through his attorney - a bar complaint against me. Those are non-public, so to learn that, he would have engaged in ex parte communications prior to recusing himself from my case. On the second page of his complaint, he complains of my ex parte communication after he already removed my son from my care, even though he acknowledges his ex parte communication with the opposing party on the first page. He has not filed any complaint against that attorney for her ex parte communications; indeed he welcomed it from her when it was in furtherance of complaining about me. At the top of page two, Judge notes that he feels my pleading from was part of what prompted him to file his complaint against me. He says that he felt compelled to remain quiet because there were pending matters before him, but certainly could have recused himself from my matter earlier than after my pleading. Instead, he apparently silently harbored that resentment against me for almost a year prior to recusing himself, while admitting that he told the Presiding Judge in my county he felt it cause for recusal. Indeed, Judge inexplicably claimed he would have no jurisdiction in this case because of an appeal, yet seemed to say that he absolutely had to tell the presiding judge in my county (there are only so he knows me well) that he had to recuse himself to complain. Recusal is not necessary when there is truly no jurisdiction.
It must be noted that Judge filed his initial complaint against me approximately receiving the advisory letter from the Commission in in Complaint It is quite clear to me - because Judge is not familiar with - that his complaint to the vas vengeance for your letter being issued to him. Rule 2.16(B).

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-232

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the names, dates, times, and place	on or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the cest that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper complaint for your records.
complaint for others enough to erode my confide became clear that my son w the relief I had hoped the co	Judge made it very clear to me that he was aware of my complaint was an " ", though he did not specify that the ' " was in the room. Exhibit 4. He knew the oblique reference to the complaint was ence that he would be impartial. I completely fell apart in the courtroom when it was going to lose his mother because I filed a legitimate complaint. Instead of emplaint would bring, it brought a series of vicious attacks and ex parte posing party and the judge to try to end my career.
illegally overturned, then see been forced by virtue of the evidence of those complaint	fford transcripts when I filed complaint with you because the judge verely restricted a new deferral order, as I also complained of there. I have new complaint to review the sixteen transcripts and now have additional is for your consideration. I will limit providing additional evidence unless it complaint.
with gender-based rulings. unreviewed records about m offensive because the court issues, despite A.R.F.L.P 51 how the demand for records	use of the complaint is Judge opinion that I unfairly took issue I did complain in that the Court demanded I provide unredacted, by to my ex-husband directly from providers. This was especially refused to state how ecords were relevant to the custody I(b)(1)(A) prohibiting irrelevant discovery. I now can provide Exhibit 5 showing about my vere completely unsupported, but viciously pursued. Of no gender-based HIPPA-protected records regarding my male ex-spouse.
psychological evaluation of requester). Importantly, he reto be the one to inflict harm to	ments, was happy, healthy, and smart, and that if he were to order the me, then my ex would also be subject to one (and have to pay for both as the repeatedly noted that the litigation was out of control and that he did not want on my son by ordering what he deemed an unnecessary evaluation. The used throughout Exhibit 6 are extremely important in light of what he did to me
At the next hearing (#13 in the produced as a result of all of Judge specifically s	the HIPPA releases I executed after the conclusion of hearing #12. Exhibit 7.
	m. The second se
I later decided, however, to f the to disrespectfully sig	ile complaint when Judge went out of his way to encourage h while I was speaking. At the time of that complaint, I did not have any

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE Name: ludge's Name:

**Instructions**: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

transcripts to support my complaint. I have now attached Exhibit 8. Unfortunately, the transcript identifies the as the opposing attorney My memory of this incident, as described in complaint told the "but his comments were directed at me: "When Ms. attempted to

apologize to me for her behavior, Judge said to her "
further encouraging intimidating and frustrating conduct toward me.

After I filed complaint things became dramatically worse. For example, in Exhibit 9, Judge made sure the record said that I was "position twice, though that was not true. This was said by him solely to make me look utterly insane. Unfortunately, I know that the courtroom does not have cameras so that I can disprove this, but I can assure you that the court reporter could not have heard me well if I was not at a microphone (sitting or standing).

Judge repeatedly told me I am a liar, before I ever testified (especially during hearing #17 and Judge never allowed me to testify in earlier proceedings). Judge then encouraged me, on of Exhibit 9 to make a record about "but said" "In re the Matter of Solorzano and Jensen, 1 CA-CV 19-0772 overturned a child support modification (same issue on specifically because "

." Although Judge nominally asked me to testify, hearing from a Judge determining the future of your child that he has already decided you are not credible encourages one to try to prove matters through witnesses whose credibility has not already been determined.

With regard to this particular matter, I presented certified transcripts regarding child support to dispute my ex husband's claim that the Order was entered during a period when the case was stayed. Indeed, he read into the record the prior Judge's strong criticism about his own counsel's outright lies. Nevertheless, Judge somehow found that even the official court record could not possibly be truthful. He went on to make terrible written findings, claiming I "the court, was extremely mentally ill and a liar, and sent those claims to the on his letterhead, then filed an affidavit claiming many of the same things without being subpoenaed. Many of the unsupported and chastised findings in *Solorzano* were word-for-word findings Judge made against me, despite the transcripts clearly showing it was my ex husband's attorney who flat lied to the judge who issued the child support order. Exhibit 10 (the claim was that the order should be set aside because "filed it when the proceedings were stayed). Judge then worked with that attorney to

He littered his ruling, then his complaint, then his affidavit with allegations that I am severely mentally ill. His prior indications were that he did not even come close to believing that. It was only after I filed that he told anyone who would listen (including the

who was not supposed to be copied) that I was too severely mentally ill to parent my son or continue my career. He added claims of fraud, lies, lack of disclosure, etc, that, again, were not at all true regarding me. They were true regarding the person he worked with on the complaint against me.

Presently, I am fighting to maintain my ability to with a trial on those issues in one month, where Judge is the only disclosed witness. A mandate is about to issue from the Court of Appeals, wherein that Court remanded the issue of me paying my ex husband's attorney's fees due to Judge written findings. I am also about to go back to attempt to restore my parenting time, more than vears after Judge took it from me and my son. For those reasons. I am choosing not to attach all available transcripts to this supplemental complaint unless requested. Just as I noted at the bottom of complaint I have dozens of other specific complaints, the addressing of which by this Commission will help protect future litigants, especially poor, female litigants. For now, however, I need to focus on getting my son back in my life and maintaining my only source of income.

Exhibit

Affidavit of

State Bar No.

Respondent.

My name is and I am over the age of eighteen, competent to testify, and I attest to the following under penalty of perjury:

1. I am a judge with the

Court. I presided over

family law matter in

Court no.

2. On after the conclusion of a bench trial held from . I issued a minute entry. (Under Advisement Ruling dated  $\exists x. 1.$ ) I issued this ruling based on my review and consideration of the filings in the case, the evidence and testimony presented, and my personal observations of conduct in court.