State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-233
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

January 12, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge violated Rules 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.9, 2.11, and 2.14 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames, Barbara Brown, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on January 12, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

· die

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-233

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	
_	
·	·
	·
. 1	
	2000 3 -
	page 3 to be

Complaint Against Judge page 3

The Court has been notified and received extensive documentation and professional testimony from the respondent, and his has several

deficits and because of this should not be representing himself and should have a professional to represent him.

has also explained to the

Courts for his financial inability to againe

his own Previously Judge had Pay

toward legal representation and the she would be reimbursed as case was settled. asked Judge

to do the same beings decisions and orders were being revisited and having another hearing on. Judge

Complaint Against Judge Page 4 refused to do the same.

Cannon I Promoting Confidence in the Judiciary + compliance with the Law

Rele 1.1 Judge had a abligation to apply clearly defined orders of Law in place by Judge and failed to hold other party accountable after filing motions to enforce at least

Rule 1.2 Comment

1. confidence in the judiciary process was eroided by improper conduct of Judge and showed by more than appearance of impropriety. The principles violated by Judge were bother personal and professional inappropriate actions, passive aggressive fait refusal to act or hold other party accountable, and than appearance of impropriety.

Complaint Agaist Judge page 5

Rule 1,2 Comment 2

Judge has clearly failed public scrutiny and would be viewed as burdensome if applied to other citizens.

Comment 3

Judge conduct has comprising the independence; integrity, and impartiality of Judge has undermind public confidence in the judical system.

Comment 4

Judge

pate in activities that promote ethical
conduct among , in this case it
would be having to represent
himself because of lack of income for
other alternatives and she has refused
to promote access tajustice for all.

Complaint Against Judge Page le

Rule 1,2 Comment 5

improprieties have Judge included violations of law, court rulings. Judge has failed the test of appearance of impropriety where her conduct has created and confirmed in the reasonable minds a perseption that the Judge has violated this code while being involved in other conduct in the case that reflects adversely on the Judge's honesty, impartiality temperment to serve as a judge. The general opinion about this legal matter that relates to the case before him or how where stee has personal views of bias, and failure of inforcement reguarding orders in placefor years now, that are not in harmony with the views or objectives of either

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.