

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-235

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

December 8, 2021

The Complainant alleged a pro tem appellate court judge discriminated against him due to his disability.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Michael J. Brown and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on December 8, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-235

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name

Instructions: Use this form of plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

To: Arizona Commission,
% Pro Tempore
I have a complaint concerning Judge. she is
against helping disabled personal. She should rule
unbiased concerning mentally disabled or challenged
personal. All judges should be unbiased in
your court.