

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-241

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 2, 2022

The Complainant alleged that an appellate court judge issued an incorrect ruling.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 2, 2022.

I am respectfully and officially petitioning this  
Commission for leave to proceed on a complaint against

involving case #

in

v.

Said judge issued an order on citing that  
Habeas Corpus is not the appropriate means to  
order something other than absolute release and  
subsequently dismissed my petition which was wrong.

wrong given the fact that Petition did involve - and  
request Petitioner's absolute release - as for not because  
of the unconstitutional disciplinary hearing, petitioner  
would be one free from the confines of solitary confinement  
and (2) would have been eligible for parole and  
release from the

Said apparently didn't take the time & consideration  
to properly examine and review the petition, which affected  
the outcome of my life and remaining prison sentence  
as without Habeas Corpus relief, Petitioner is subjected  
to an undetermined stay not only in prison, but also

in isolation

And therefore there is no legal redress to be had and thus there is no correction against prison officials, illegal and unconstitutional conduct (and their allowed to continue unimpeded)

And relied on old and out dated case law because even if Petitioner wasn't asking for absolute release, Petitioner would still have a claim a liberty interest to be free from the confines of isolation due to the unconstitutional disciplinary hearing according to Austin v. Winkler 545 U.S. 209 2005.

Verification

County of

I hereby declare that all the facts contained herein are true and correct.