

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-252

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 2, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge allowed false statements to be made during a criminal trial.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 2, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-252

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: _____

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I WAS ON TREAL IN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT FOR SHOPLIFTING
THE JUDGE THAT WAS PRESIDING OVER MY TREAL ^{ALLOWD} ~~ALLOD~~ FALSE STATEMENTS
TO BE GIVEN BY THE STATES WITNESS AT TREAL. IN THE TREAL TRANSCRIPS
THE FACTS SUPPORT WHAT I AM SAYING, THE TREAL JUDGE ALLOWD TWO
STATES WITNESS TO CHANG THERE TESTIMONY BEFOR GETTING ON
THE STAND. TWO WITNESS FROM STORE WORKED AS A PLAINCLOTHES
LOSS PREVENTION CITIZENS FOR THE STORE NOT OFFICER OF THE LAW
THEY GRABBED MY ARM AND STARTED FIGHTENG WITH ME I HAD NO
WAY OF KNOWING WHO THEY WERE I WAS ASSAULTD AND ENDED UP A
EYE REMOVAL. THE JUDGE ALLOWED BOTH WITNESS FROM
TO CHANG THERE STATEMENTS AT TREAL BOTH WITNESS SAID THEY
DID NOT IDENTIFIED THERE SELF, BUT THE JUDGE SAID THEY
COULD CHANG THERE STATEMENT TO THEY IDENTIFIED THERE
SELFS AS SECURITY OFFICERS BEFORE, THEY GRABBED MY
ARM, THIS IS MISCONDUCT BY THE JUDGE. READ TREAL TRANSCRIPS
THEY SPEAKE FOR THEM SELF TRANSCRIPS DONT LIE.