### State of Arizona

# COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

### Disposition of Complaint 21-253

Judge:

Complainant:

## ORDER

## February 16, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a municipal court judge had not followed the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 16, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL** Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-253

#### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions**: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

In Court in the City of a Magistrate for ordered Defendant baγ \$ in restitution to myself Victim regarding I have not received one penny despite numerous requests that this magistrate hold the defendant in contempt every month that he fails to pay. Defendant has committed numerous new charges times since. Each time I request that he is held in contempt to no avail. Each and been in custody time despite my request no bond is secured to be forwarded to me if paid and deducted from restitution. The magistrate instead chooses to release him on his own recognizance, never requiring Bond. Each time despite my numerous requests Defendants pocket money is not seized and forwarded to me to be deducted from restitution either. This Magistrate willfully chooses to support the defendants agenda more than the victims let alone show any deturant to the defendant as to why he should not continue to fail to appear, commit new infractions or not pay restitution. It is obvious historically this court will not present any motivation let alone repercussions. I have petitioned the

magistrate again as there are many more enthusiastic alternatives willing to serve taxpayers effectively and lawfully then the criminals. It is my hope that the will remind this magistrate who actually pays her salary let alone to do the right thing prior to the Defendants next hearin which he more than likely will fail to appear at again. Respectfully.