

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-255

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 15, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge incorrectly ruled on his petition for an order of protection.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Roger D. Barton, Barbara Brown, and Louis Frank Dominguez did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 15, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-255

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

IN THE PAST WEEK I FILED FOR AN INJUNCTION AGAINST HARASSMENT TWICE. I CANNOT LOCATE THE #'S GENERATED BY _____ THE 1ST TIME JUDGE HEARD MY CASE I LOST CONNECTION. THE 2ND TIME SHE TOLD ME IF MY STALKER SHOW'S UP IN _____ TO CALL THE POLICE, AND DID NOT GRANT MY REQUEST FOR AN INJUNCTION. IN OTHER WORDS SHE IS AWARE OF THE THREAT OF VIOLENCE AGAINST ME IS PROBABLY BUT TELLS ME TO CALL THE POLICE.

PER A.R.S. §13-2921

I SHOULD HAVE BEEN GRANTED MY REQUEST FOR AN INJUNCTION AGAINST HARASSMENT.

THE JUDGE SAID "