State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-257

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 9, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased against her, violated the law, and made incorrect rulings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 9, 2022.

County Superior Court

Date:

From:

To: Subject:

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

County Az

Misconduct Complaint

To whom this may concern:

I am writing this letter in hopes that the Judicial Commission will thoroughly and properly investigate, and address the issues in County properly and unbiasedly.

County Superior courts are seeming to have issues, with believed Corruptions, Collusions, improprieties to name a few.

It certainly appears as though, The rural community is lacking in reforming rural community judicial corruption reform, and willingly.

county has failed to adopt any processes to eliminate the possibilities of either occurring and still currently is allowing persons of close personal and professional relationships that have been extremely notable in the community in public eye, to preside over cases in which another stands to benefit by their ruling.

One case in fact is currently ongoing in county, with Superior Court Judge presiding over a case being represented by his Superior family Court pro-temp AKA Judge (s) county

		3)	county
AKA	to	in these matters.	whom both

This case in particular is extremely troubling. Several complaints have been issued to specific offices, and this counsel was suggested to address the issues, and also to Forward this complaint as well as the others to Chief Justice .

This is the second occurrence of appearance of her client , and a Superior court judge in the last year alone. The previous collusion of Judge office was NOT thoroughly investigated and left multiple individuals feeling as though they assist in sweeping the issues under the rug, as no witnesses listed, attorneys listed nor the complaint-ant we contacted to give any statements, explain their side of the story, what they had witnessed, nor their concerns.

The commission did send out a letter stating they listened to hearings in totally and dismissed the complaints.

It is thoroughly believed	at this point, that due to the rela	ationships	sitting into the	
	; ((please see screen shot if members of this			
committee)) and Judge	affiliations as	of the	(please also see	
image extracted from	County Publications).			

"Judicial Misconduct by definition occurs when a judge acts in ways that are considered Unethical, or otherwise violate the judges obligations of impartial conduct."

Under this definition, there are specific definitions and examples given of such conducts.

Appearance of impropriety to the public: Please see numerous publications of

Unethical: a recent order handed down from in favor of for a some of almost in attorneys fees claiming mother did not object- false, mother and her counsel did object numerous times to sanctions

Through the proceedings- falsifying orders or overlooking .

Preference of parties for a favorable out some of friends family or otherwise; it's undeniable that Judge and we colleagues, friends and had a long standing for an extended sum of years prior to taking the bench. This relationship was continue as because in the same county, venue, and jurisdiction with the same specialty of court , but also that she has been allowed to represent in that same area giving the obvious favoritism amongst their relationship.

This relationship also stood to assist in financial gains based off orders. It should also be said, that was at a , reduced rate in some instances but was not paid for any work by her client in this in which paid her making her clients cost slim to none. This is an advantage mother did not have, and has to pay considerable amounts for her legal fees at a minimum of times monthly for the last .

also was aware that NO PARTY RESIDED IN COUNTY FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME, and that no party still resides with in County. Father resides in AND HAS FOR ; MOTHER HAS RESIDED IN COUNTY FOR THE LAST .

ACCORDING TO THE EMANCIPATION filing, the minor child has resided in county as well for the last few years,

Request to transfer to an unbias proper venue of maricopa were filed multiple times. One denial stating by judge ex criminal attorney and long time family friend stated "; then

Another request denied by , agreed no party resided in county, but that wouldn't be able to accept and schedule a hearing in a timely fashion A YEAR AGO and denied the transfer .

Since that time, mother has been force to be the only party to appear in person and denied the same amenities father is granted such as appearing virtually thus this court also forcing mother to take

Full days off of work, incur travel fees, and yet allows father to appear for the short time of the hearing from the comforts of his vacations, home and work, virtually inciting him no costs. While father had bragged about having dual incomes of over 6 figures annually, and mother has suffered hardships medically and financially due to the abuse of authority and willingness to assist in harassment and financial abuse from this court.

If this court was neutral, the court would have also allowed mother to appear the same as father.

has kept the family law case open and in ounty only to meet the needs of fathers counsel, which is against the rules of family law procedures in Arizona, appropriate conduct and ethical decision making.

has been able to skate by with her false representations of facts. This is not the first allegation of this on her behalf, as nurmerous other attorneys as well as mother have reported this to the court (whom presides over) and the state bar (in which has affiliations with the discipline committee)please see screenshots of this committee and seat with in it.

recently threatened mother on record, in a proceeding with jail at the end of the proceeding if she did not release information regarding a confidential sealed hearing in county.

for the last as threatened mother with jail if she did not violate federal HIPPA laws.

also lifted an OOP while in the hearing preventing mothers counsel from presenting the full case, or allowing him to call client to testify.

The corruption in county, has violated numerous civil laws, and shows that the judicial system there seems to make up their own rules of procedure rather then abide by them.

Mother did mother to deserve the observed slander, threats and intimidation's, harrassment. Further more it has been made very clear judge lacks the attention to important information, and insistently continues to only have a bias ear for Proof in this statement was mother's testimony in in which she testified to the child's intention of filing an emancipation and her consent being given. In summer of blatantly lied stating mother did not testify to this, and if she did she couldn't recall,

although had no explanation as to why she () had the emancipation rules printed for the judge in her binder for this proceeding.

Judge has also allowed to strike petitions and testimony in his court while denying those same request from mother and her counsel telling both that "

" with a total number of granted on behalf of and her client and zero at mothers requests.

Further mother client has admitted to neglect, abuse, failing to abiding by a court order numerous times, failing to provide reasonable financial responsibility to a minor, evasion of child support, stalking, harrassment, intimidation's etc during his testimonies in the family law proceedings.

and the county court have made no effort to address the criminal actions and have made further effort to ignore them and not hold father accountable whilest threatening and harassing mother with jail for crimes not committed as evident by police interactions and reports.

Mother is formally requesting a thorough investigation of this judicial system and and reopening of investigations of Judge

The prior investigation did not even contact witnesses, attorneys, nor the complaint at giving before closing the cases.

The same is requested for the

Further more mother and child would like injunctions against harassment applied under the while blower protections against and immediate transfer to the proper venue vacating the erroneous and vexatious orders until properly heard by an unbias

unaffiliated party.

Mother will be filing a request to rescind, and another motion to transfer.

It should also be noted, mothers family consists of whom have been outraged with the fact that they faught for this counties freedom to include their own family and yet their sister, daughter, and niece could lose her own freedom solely due to a corrupt county and judicial system.

I'm hoping as instructed this complaint produces positive change in the reform of rural county judicial corruption. This complaint has also been received by

, and also will be forwarded to Chief Justice after the previous failure or lax in investigations and disciplinary for conducts committed.

It should also be said that mother is not the only party that has complained against these parties and that in regards to , the community had already been complaining all over the internet about her conduct, and the amounts of complaints against her that either hadn't been addressed or were dismissed.

Kindest Regards,