

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-258

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 2, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge (now retired) incorrectly ruled on a matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 2, 2022.

My name is ,  
I recieved a sentence of  
17 years flat. in  
County . I too  
like the Judge,  
sentenced me wrongtully.  
I had alot of mitigated  
circumstances, which she did  
not take into consideration.  
In fact, my case was handled  
wrong. Starting with my absence  
at the place of the crime. I  
got arrested for a Felony in  
, and was getting a  
psych'eval for a rule 11, which  
I recieved after the evaluation  
officers  
questioned me while I was  
getting my psych eval.  
did not take this  
into consideration. County  
had me see psychiatrists  
They ~~both~~ both saw me for a  
short-time. 1 of them said  
I was incompetent at the  
time of the crime. I had 2  
co-defendants,  
and

admitted to killing  
He  
told the police that he  
shot him and that was  
"aunho slicing and dicing."  
I told officers that  
I was not at the scene of  
the crime. When arrested they  
placed me in [ ] because  
he had a history of a child  
crime. [ ] was placed in Max  
Custody. During his stay, he  
asked his bunkie to kill  
[ ]. He then  
told the bunkie that he  
had different stories that  
would insinuate me, so that  
he could get a sentence of  
10 1/2 for kidnapping. He started  
a riot in MAX so they moved  
him, [ ], to [ ] which I thought  
that co-defendants couldn't  
be housed in the same area.  
I was approached by lawyer  
and I was asked if I  
wanted the same deal as  
[ ]. They wanted information  
regarding a murder of a

kid named \_\_\_\_\_, which I had no information to give. I had minor children and that was not taken into consideration. I'm am a survivor of being molested by several family members as a young child and 17 years of severe domestic violence.

\_\_\_\_\_ the Judge, sentenced me to 17 years, I signed all the paper work and gave my thumb print in court because court was done. I ~~was~~ left the court room and was getting on the elevator when I was taken back to the court room and ~~she~~ she reopened Court to say: " of the questions that I have are: How could they offer me 10 1/2 ~~for~~ for kidnapping then charge me with 2nd degree murder when I wasn't even there during the murder? Plus they found no evidence indicting me? It was bad enough that I had a lawyer,

that did not fight for me or did not even try to help me. Then ~~the~~ the Judge, that gave me a sentence for something I did not do. I also believe that I should have been at a ~~a~~ SMI court

Sincerely

#

I've heard that:

was forced to retire because she was going to be fired for mental health reasons, and/or giving out hard sentences, is any of that true.