#### State of Arizona

#### COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-260

Judge:

Complainant:

# ORDER

November 24, 2021

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge violated the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Joseph C. Kreamer and J. Tyrrell Taber did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on November 24, 2021.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-260

## COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

12

\_Judge's Name:

**Instructions**: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

0.0



Clerk of the Court \*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\*

# COURT OF ARIZONA COUNTY

#### HONORABLE

#### CLERK OF THE COURT

### STATE OF ARIZONA

v.

### MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has reviewed the Defendant's Motion to challenge to grand jury proceeding/for new finding of probable cause, filed

IT IS ORDERED denying the Motion. The defendant failed to present any factual or legal basis to support the relief requested in the Motion.



Clerk of the Court \*\*\* Electronically Filed \*\*\*

### COURT OF ARIZONA COUNTY

CLERK OF THE COURT

HONORABLE LOOKS IF HES do ING + STATE OF ARIZONA

got my treatous

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has reviewed the Defendant's Motion to challenge to grand jury proceeding/for new finding of probable cause, filed

IT IS ORDERED denying the Motion. The defendant failed to present any factual or legal basis to support the relief requested in the Motion. auge No motion

Need

Docket Code

v.

Form

CLERK OF THE COURT

### COURT OF ARIZONA COUNTY

### HONORABLE

#### STATE OF ARIZONA

v.

. . .

See Rule of criminal Procedure it state \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ different, show this Judge donot foilow law, or He's NO good ANd Can Not be trusted <u>MINUTE ENTRY</u>

The Court has reviewed Defendant's pro per Motion for Appointment of Investigator and Expert filed

**IT IS ORDERED** denying the Defendant's Motion without prejudice. The Defendant's Motion for an investigator and/or expert needs to be requested through the Office of Public Defense Services. If denied, the Defendant may re-file his Motion with this Court.