State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 21-263
Judge:	
Complainant:	

ORDER

February 2, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge made incorrect legal rulings.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 2, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

21-263

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	,	Judge's Name:		
words what you names, dates, t be attached alo	ou believe the judge did that a times, and places that will help t	of the same size to file a co- constitutes judicial misconduct. the commission understand your relevant court documents. Pleas records.	Be specific a	and list all of the ditional pages may
On	I was driving a	for my e	mployer	
is a licens was hit by a pushed from s concrete medi	hat veered southbound to westbound, cros	While southbound on left and collided into the rear or sed all lanes of southbound tra	f the van. Th	
disability bene	rage. I submitted a claim to fits on or around	commenced payr However, they soon stopped	nent of tempor	efits claiming my
On		the policy. I timely sent a record additional medical records sind		
	not comply with the contractual refused to meet its contractual	eck to me in the amount of { al benefits that were mine unde obligations, I filed suit in	er the terms o	sed the check of the contract. Court. The case
claim and was	to dismiss my complaint. Des seven still trying to pay me ber subsequently learned that Judg the bench.	nefits under the claim, Judge	grante	
	concerns over why this case wert any influence in getting the		Did	(and/or its
was still receive	ving mail from them asking me niss I explained how co enying the claim without collect	ave been dismissed. My claim to sign new authorizations. In laim specialist, ding medical records, the police side replying "	oral argumention	nally mishandled
mobility aid. I	nt driver while waiting for an or motor vehicle accid	with eturned home from the military pen position as social worker w ent has left me permanently dis my neck, spine, left shoulder ar	ith the sabled unable	h as in e to walk without a
		and a second second second second		

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR	OFFICE	USE	ONL	Y
run	UFFILE	USE	CIVIL	

21-263

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:	Judge's Name:
words what you believe the judge did that constitu	same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own ites judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the mission understand your concerns. Additional pages may
be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevan only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records	t court documents. Please complete one side of the paper

involvement in my case.

Home

FAOs

Contact

Privacy Notice

Legal Notice

Hon.

Attorney Average Rating: Non-Attorney Average Rating: Please send me alerts on this judge E-mail Address:

Register

Add your own rating

E-Mail Address (will not be displayed)

Confirm E-mail Address

Zip

Occupation

Criminal Defense Lawyer

Add a comment only

Only items marked with (*) are averaged into the displayed overall rating.

General Rating Criteria

* Temperament No Opinion V (1=Awful, 10=Excellent) No Opinion (1=Awful,10=Excellent) * Scholarship (1=Not at all industrious, 10=Highly industrious) * Industriousness No Opinion 🕶 * Ability to Handle Complex Litigation No Opinion ✔ (1=Awful.10=Excellent) (f=Chronically Late.10=Always on No Opinion ✓ * Punctuality Time) (1=Not all Able, 10=Extremely Able) * General Ability to Handle Pre-Trial Matters No Opinion ~ (1=Not all Able, 10=Extremely Able) No Opinion ✓ * General Ability as a Trial Judge (1=Completely Inflexible,10=Very Flexible) Flexibility In Scheduling No Opinion ❤

Criminal Rating Criteria (if applicable)

(1=Demonstrates Blas,10=Entirely Evenhanded) * Evenhandedness in Criminal Litigation No Opinion ✓ 1=Pro-General Inclination Regarding Bail No Opinion ♥ Defense, 10=Pro-Government) (1=Not at all Involved, Involvement in Plea Discussions No Opinion ~ 10=Very Invo 1=Pro-General Inclination in Criminal Cases Pretrial Stage No Opinion ❤ prosecution, 10=Prodefense) (1=Pro-General Inclination in Criminal Cases Trial Stage No Opinion ♥ prosecution.10=Pro-defense) (1=Pro-General Inclination in Criminal Cases Sentencing Stage No Opinion ✓ prosecution,10=Prodefense)

Civil Rating Criteria (if applicable)

* Evenhandedness in Civil Litigation

No Opinion

(1=Not at all Evenhanded, 10=Entirely Evenhanded)

(1=Not at all Involvement in Settlement Discussions

No Opinion

No Opinion

(1=Not at all Involved, 10=Entirely Evenhanded)

(1=Not at all Involved, 10=Very Involved, 10=Very Involved)

(1=Pro-defendant, 10=Pro-plainiff)

Please type what you see below:

Submit

What others have said about Hon.

Comments

Civil Litigation - Private

Comment #:

Rating:1.0 合合合合合合合合合合合

View Detail

Send e-mail to this poster

Other

Comment #:

View Detail

Send e-mail to this poster

Other

Comment #.

View Detail

Send e-mail to this poster

Criminal Defense Lawyer

Comment #:

Rating:1.0 合合合合合合合合合合

Comments:

View Detail

Send e-mail to this poster

Criminal Defense Lawyer

Comment #:

Rating:1.0 合合合合合合合合合合合合合合

View Detail

Send e-mail to this poster

Civil Litigation - Govt.

Comment #:

Rating:2.8 分分分合合合合合合合合合

View Detail

Send e-mail to this poster

Litigant

Comment #:

Rating:1.0 合合合合合合合合合合

View Detail

Send e-mail to this poster

Litigant

Comment #

Rating:10.0 含含含含含含含含含含含含

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.