

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-272

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 9, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court judge made incorrect legal rulings and/or decisions.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 9, 2022.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**2021-272**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I PUT IN A PCR 32 RULE SEVERAL TIMES ASKING FOR A RELEASE FROM PRISON DO TO UNLAWFUL (DNA) EVIDENCE FROM THE STATE CONSTITUTE AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON THE RULE 32 STATE. DO THE COURT HAVE ANY JURISDICTION TO HAVE ME IN PRISON DO TO THE LACK OF FALSE (DNA) EVIDENCE? OVER CHARGING ME ON THE SENTENCE THAT WAS GIVING TO ME DO TO THE LACK OF FALSE CLAIMS OF THE ALLEGATIONS. NEVER GOT THE DUE PROCESS THAT I WAS SUPPOSE TO RECEIVE. MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WERE VIOLATED IN THE \_\_\_\_\_ COURT AND \_\_\_\_\_ HAS VIOLATED HER OATHS AS A JUDGE DUE TO HER LACK OF TRUTHFULNESS ABOUT THE (DNA) EVIDENCE. IF THE COURT HAVE A EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON THE (DNA) EVIDENCE THAT THE STATE CLAIM THEY HAD BUT NEVER BRING FORWARD WITH THE EVIDENCE, BRING THE TRUTH FORWARD IF NOT THE COURT HAVE NO JURISDICTION TO HAVE ME IN PRISON THEREFORE IM ASKING THE COURTS TO RELEASE ME PER RULE 32 OR 33.