

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 21-274

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 16, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a justice of the peace was biased, partial, lacked diligence, and did not follow the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 16, 2022.

201/3

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2021-274

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

My case was constituted of 2 problems

1: a broken doors that created a safety problem The tenants recognized the fact

2: an AC filter problem way more confusing but \_\_\_\_\_, my AC contractor, fell threaten by the tenant and he clearly stated that in front of the judge. The contractor refused to install a new AC under threats.

The court asked me to send my documentation to the court and to the tenants which I did. Apparently the tenants were able to find my evidences once I was speaking about it , each file got a number , while the judge was not. Doing so, she was interrupting and confusing me.

Since she was printing them, she was supposed to organize it.

As an aged man, foreigner, English as a second language, I thought she was not helping while she was more focusing about herself ,her camera not being on and not finding the papers because there was too much documentation as she was complaining. There were 19 files, she was able to follow till picture 3.

The first hearing was the \_\_\_\_\_ and the judge postponed it the \_\_\_\_\_ requesting that we provided ALL evidence to the party and the court for the three of \_\_\_\_\_ I assumed that she will read/study the case the 4.

The judge disregarded the safety door problem that could hurt somebody despite the clear regulation. And apparently, she did not believe my witness and the emails for the AC unit.

The door problem was straight by itself and the AZ tenant act is not respected, neither the housing guidance of the \_\_\_\_\_ What are her justification? Who is responsible if somebody get hurt? I assume if this happen, I will sue the \_\_\_\_\_ Why she did not respect the law?

For the AC problem, it was more a he says I says, but I assume that is OK for an independent contractor to feel threaten because of some paranoia tenants.

On top of that, the tenant file an answer and a counterclaim. This is their right. She was moving there when I mentioned that I was not aware and not be served for the answer and the counterclaim. Therefore, these cannot be considered by the court following the laws of Arizona. She decided to dismiss my case but granted the tenants the \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for filing the answer or counterclaim at my expense. In her so call explanation, on video, it is quite difficult to understand what she is talking about since she is confusing both.

3 of 3

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2021-274

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Already, at the first hearing, the [redacted] beside postponing without real explanation, the judge asked the tenants their emails and explain them some stuffs that I was not able to hear. I raise my hand through the screen to participate but was dismissed. She did not ask my email.

At first, I thought I will receive the instruction for the new hearing by email or mail but was not sure . I called my-self 3 days later, gave my email and asked the time and procedure. The lady on the phone told me to send my documents and I received an email the [redacted] stating the link and hour.

If I did not look my-self after the information, the judge will have dismiss the case due to my absence. As it was the judge decision to postpone, it was her duty to proper inform. The fact she avoid this basic duty shows that she is bias.

Nothing was stated/requested for the organization of the paper so I put a different number for each paper/file. The fact she did not organized my papers shows that she is bias.

Either the judge makes prejudice and/or she is not doing a correct job.

I will file another complaint but I am requesting another judge since I do not see any impartiality or fair play in this one.

Impartiality because she discussed in apart with the tenants during the first hearing ,she did not provide the schedule for the hearing and did not organize the requested documentation to follow the video case.

Diligence: If she postpone, it should have been to read the documents.

Fair-play: At first, she was not impartial during the hearing, and second she granted the tenants the cost of their counterclaim/answer while she could not take that in consideration. If a landlord do not serve properly, the complaint is rejected right away. Two different rules?The tenants did not inform me of his answer. And the judge accepted that.

She,the judge,seems to have abandoned the legal principles of "rule of law" for cheap conformity to external political and racial/gender pressures. It appears that "the farce of justice" is becoming a norm.

I received by email, the judgment papers the 10, the court recalled them, and new issue the 12 with slight differences. Does the [redacted] court and judge are properly trained? This is a joke.....

It is duly noted and my behavior will adjust to this new paradigm. Furthermore, when the actual unreliable AC will stop, what should be my duty?The judge allowing a threatening behavior, I have to inform a future AC contractor. I did it and he refused to come. Due to the judge, I cannot make a reasonable fix and she will place me out of the law.

I support