State of Arizona

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-275				
Judge:				
Complainant:				

ORDER

February 16, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a justice of the peace was not following the law.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Christopher W. Ames did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 16, 2022.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2021-275

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: J	udge's Name:
Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the sawords what you believe the judge did that constituted names, dates, times, and places that will help the comming attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant comly, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.	s judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the ssion understand your concerns. Additional pages may
Plaintiff was assigned a judgment against garnishment was served on . After	for collection. On , a wage via their Arizona Statutory agent failed to respond to the wage garnishment, a
etter was sent to for Dder to show cause would be requested if garnishment. Again, after not receiving a response or requested and scheduled for The here	notifying them that an Inc faiedl to respond to the wage
At the Order to show cause hear Judge and requested a judgment against the appeared on behalf of Judge statutory obligations whether Plaintiff had attempted to address since some statutory agents are better at their hat the law only required Plaintiff to serve the statutory additional notices to other addresses. Further, Plaintiff	stated that although Plaintiff had met their at their rjob then others regarding notice. Plaintiff stated y agent for and not send
granted against the garnishee : o the statute which requires service on corporations th	d proof to the Court before a judgment would be This requirement is not necessary and contrary brough their statutory agent. This is not the first time at a Garnishee was given additional notice besides brought contrary to the law but costs Plaintiff additional

1 Π		COPY		
2				
3		g	rustice Court	
4	Justice Court In And For The Country Of			
5		П		
6				
7	Plaintiff(s), Represented By			
8	vs.		Declaration Of Service	
9				
10	Defendant(s), In Propria Person	n <u>a</u>		
11		in a qualified under AR(TP 4(d) and 4(e), to serve legal process	
12	I, being qualified under ARCP, 4(d) and 4(e), to serve legal process within the State of Arizona and having been so appointed by County did attorney For The Plaintiff, the following Court issued			
13	receive from documents, some of which were	filed with the Court purs	suant to Rule 5 of the RPEA:	
14	Two Copies Each: Earnings Writ Of Garnishment/Summons, 2nd Notice To			
15	Earnings Statement A Defendant Within 3 Day	And Answer; Juagn	ient. Malieu nequireu oopies io	
16	On at		rue copies of these documents as follows:	
17	<u> </u>	BY LE	EAVING COPIES WITH	
18	WHO IS A WHO I	AUTHORIZED TO ACC IS THE STAT AGENT.	CEPT FOR	
19	Documents Were Served At The			
20	Business Located at:			
21			I declare under penalty of perjury that the	
22		E I at at East		
22	Service Tees	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date.	
23	Fee For 1 serve(s): Fee For 7 miles:	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and sorress and was executed on this date.	
	Fee For 1 serve(s): Fee For 7 miles: Advanced Fees	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and sorrest and was executed on this date.	
23	Fee For 1 serve(s): Fee For 7 miles: Advanced Fees Fuel Surcharge: Miscellaneous Fees:	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date.	
23	Fee For 1 serve(s): Fee For 7 miles: Advanced Fees Fuel Surcharge: Miscellaneous Fees: Certified / Mail Fees:	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date.	
23 24 25	Fee For 1 serve(s): Fee For 7 miles: Advanced Fees Fuel Surcharge: Miscellaneous Fees:	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date	
23 24 25 26	Fee For 1 serve(s): Fee For 7 miles: Advanced Fees Fuel Surcharge: Miscellaneous Fees: Certified / Mail Fees: Document Prep Fees:	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date.	
23 24 25 26 27	Fee For 1 serve(s): Fee For 7 miles: Advanced Fees Fuel Surcharge: Miscellaneous Fees: Certified / Mail Fees: Document Prep Fees:	Explanation of Fees	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date.	

RE: l

Garnishmeni

Dear Sir/Madame:

It has come to my attention that has failed to file a timely answer to the Writ of Garnishment served in the above-referenced matter. Arizona Revised Statutes Section 12-1593.13 (H); provides that if continues to fail to answer the Writ of Garnishment, it may be subject to a default judgment and additional attorneys' fees. If I do not receive a copy of an answer to the Writ with the Non-exempt Earnings Statement from within seven (7) days of the date of this letter, I will petition the court for an Order to Show Cause compelling to file the answer, along with seeking costs and attorneys' fees. Hopefully, this will not be necessary.

Sincerely.

1 2 Justice Court 3 4 In And For The County Of 5 6 Plaintiff(s), Represented By 7 vs. Declaration Of Service 8 9 Date and Time of Hearing Defendant(s), In Propria Persona 10 11 being qualified under ARCP, 4(d) and 4(e), to serve legal process within the State of Arizona and having been so appointed by County 12 the following Court issued documents, some of which receive from were filed with the Court pursuant to Rule 5 of the RPEA: 13 Order to Show Cause and Petition 14 I personally served true copies of these documents as follows: at 15 BY LEAVING COPIES WITH 16 WHO IS AUTHORIZED TO ACCEPT FOR WHO IS THE STAT AGENT. 17 Documents Were Served At The 18 Place Of Residence Located at: 19 I declare under penalty of perjury that the Gerrice Fees 20 Explanation of Fees foregoing is true and correct and was executed on this date. Fee For I serve(s): 21 Fee For 7 miles: 22 Advanced Fees Fuel Surcharge: 23 Miscellaneous Fees: 24 Certified / Mail Fees: Document Prep Fees: 25 Total of Fees: 26 27 28 29

"<u>"</u>3

ENTITY INFORMATION Search Date and Time: **Entity Details Entity Name:** Entity ID: Entity Type: Domestic LLC **Entity Status:** Active Formation Date: Reason for Status: In Good Standing Approval Date: Status Date: Original Incorporation Date: Life Period: Perpetual Business Type: Any legal purpose Last Annual Report Filed: Domicile State:

Annual Report Due Date:

Original Publish Date:

Years Due:

THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.

FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.