

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 21-278

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 9, 2022

The Complainant alleged that a superior court commissioner violated the law, took money from him, and used a data tracking system on him.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Christopher W. Ames and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 9, 2022.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2021-278

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

For his complaint, _____ asserts that
County Superior Court Commissioner
has committed the following violations:
Rule 81, Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct; Rule 1.1,
Compliance with the law, Rule 2.3, Bias, Prejudice, and
Harassment, Rule 2.15, Responding to Misconduct,
Arizona Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 42,
Group 5 Violations; Rule 5.3, Responsibilities Regarding
Nonlawyer Assistants, and the following Arizona
Revised Statutes violations: ARS 13-2810 - Interfering
with Judicial Proceedings, ARS 13-2702 - Perjury by
False Declarations, and ARS 13-2409 - Obstruction.
Let the Commission that ARS 13-713, Forfeiture of
State Benefits is an appropriate sanction should
be found guilty of the above-mentioned
violations,

The Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to
the Arizona Constitution, article 6, Section 3 and 4.
_____ argues that in light of the Arizona
Court's controlling precedent; *In re Phillips*,
226 Ariz 113 (2010), _____ is in fact in violation
of state law and sanctions are appropriate where
he activities cast distrust and disrepute upon
the Commission and the judiciary
_____ asserts that since Commissioner

2021-278

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____ Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Cyberstalking
V

took office, her personal assistant has been stalking with a courthouse data link computer tracking system. More recently acting on and under the direction of created "state documents which were mailed to the Service to effectuate a collection scheme. (ARS 13-2310, ARS 13-2002, and ARS 13-2008). The two were able to obtain security numbers and create "state documents to garnish federal COVID money in the amount of ". It is unknown what and are doing with the money that is unlawfully garnished from the federal treasury with counterfeit state documents or how many people suffer the disabling effects of these fraudulent collection schemes. argues that he owes no state judgment, and that if he did, state documents do not take federal money. According to the the CARES Act exempts COVID Pandemic and Disaster Relief benefits from levy, garnishment or forfeiture unless the benefit was fraudulently obtained. That is not the case here, 3 demands sanctions.